arXiv:1609.07011v1 [math.AG] 22 Sep 2016

SINGULAR CURVES AND BAKER-AKHIEZER FUNCTIONS

SEBASTIAN KLEIN, EVA LÜBCKE, MARTIN ULRICH SCHMIDT, AND TOBIAS SIMON

Abstract. We firstly translate the algebraic presentation of singular curves in [Se, Chapter IV] into the analytic setting. Secondly, we present the concept of Baker-Akhiezer functions [Kr] on such curves. Generalised divisors [Ha-86] and their interplay with partial desingularisations are the fundament of their construction.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this article is two-fold. Firstly, we present the theory of compact singular one-dimensional complex spaces from the analytic point of view. In particular, the Riemann-Roch Theorem and Serre Duality are proven. The classical versions of these statements concern complex holomorphic line bundles on compact Riemann surfaces. On singular complex spaces, there exist several concepts which describe complex holomorphic line bundles for smooth Riemann surfaces. We will consider two of them: invertible sheaves and generalised divisors. The first are locally free coherent sheaves of rank one. The second concept is more general and is defined as coherent subsheaves of the sheaf of meromorphic functions [Ha-86]. Sections 2, 5 and 6 are based on [Se, Chapter IV], where the algebraic viewpoint of big parts of the material we want to present is evolved.

The second purpose of this article is a presentation of the theory of Baker-Akhiezer functions on singular one-dimensional complex spaces. These functions are defined in such a way that they describe sections of holomorphic line bundles on compact Riemann surfaces. Here, the line bundles are defined in terms of combinations of two concepts which both describe holomorphic line bundles on Riemann surfaces: divisors and cocycles. These concepts are combined in such a way that the holomorphic sections are uniquely determined and solve a differential equation. This relation between holomorphic sections and differential equations was first discovered by Burchnall and Chaundy in a series of papers on commutative algebras of ordinary differential equations. James Baker extracted in a note on one of these papers [Ba] a first

definition of these functions. Krichever realized the importance of this concept and called them Baker-Akhiezer functions [Kr]. For a general discussion of these functions, we recommend [D-K-N, Chapter 2 §2]. Hence, our second objective is to consider Baker-Akhiezer functions on compact one-dimensional complex spaces in the most general setting. Consequently, we try to extend the concept of divisors to the most general situation in which Baker-Akhiezer functions are defined on singular one-dimensional complex spaces. This seems to be the concept of generalised divisors introduced by Hartshorne [Ha-86] mentioned above. We hope to convince the reader that this concept is indeed natural on singular curves.

In contrast to classical divisors, generalised divisors do not determine the underlying singular curves uniquely. For given generalised divisors, we discuss the compatible partial desingularisations of the underlying singular curve. Among these, there is a unique singular curve with lowest δ-invariant. If the generalised divisor is locally free, then the underlying singular curve must be equal to this partial desingularisation.

We finish this introduction with a short summary of the article. In Section 2, we translate [Se, Chapter IV §1] into the analytic setting and describe singular one-dimensional complex spaces by their normalisation and some additional data. Generalised divisors are introduced in Section 3 and their interplay with partial desingularizations of the corresponding singular curves are investigated in Section 4. Sections 5 and 6 translate §2 and §3 of [Se, Chapter IV] into the analytic setting. In Section 7, we prepare the introduction of Baker-Akhiezer functions and recall Krichever's presentation of holomorphic line bundles on curves. Finally, in Section 8 the Baker-Akhiezer functions are constructed.

2. One-dimensional complex analytic spaces

2.1. **Structure of a one-dimensional complex analytic space.** Let X' be a one-dimensional complex analytic space and $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ the sheaf of the holomorphic functions on X'. The sheaf $\mathcal{M}_{X'}$ of meromorphic functions on X' is defined as the sheaf of quotients $\frac{f}{g}$, where $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ and g has isolated roots. For every $q \in X'$, we denote by $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}$ the integral closure of $\mathcal{O}_{X',q}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{X',q}$. We omit the subscript X' if it is clear from the context on which space the sheaf is defined. This is the first step in constructing the normalisation $\pi : X \to X'$, see e.g. [dJ-P, Theorem 4.4.8]. In fact, the direct image $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$ of the sheaf of holomorphic functions $\mathcal{O}_X$ of X equals $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}$ which is the sheaf of locally bounded meromorphic functions on X', see [dJ-P, Theorem 4.4.15 and

proof of Theorem 4.4.8]:

$$\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'} = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \ , \qquad \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q} := \bigoplus_{p \in \pi^{-1}[\{q\}]} \mathcal{O}_{X,p} \ . \tag{1}$$

The annihilator $\mathfrak{c}$ of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}/\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ with

$$\mathfrak{c}_q := \{ g \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_q \mid \forall\, f \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_q \,:\, g \cdot f \in \mathcal{O}_q \subset \bar{\mathcal{O}}_q \} \tag{2}$$

for all $q \in X'$ is called the conductor of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$. Here, we identify the meromorphic functions $\mathcal{M}_{X'}$ of X' with the meromorphic functions of $\mathcal{M}_X$ by the map $f \mapsto f \circ \pi = \pi^* f$ which induces an isomorphism of sheaves $\mathcal{M}_{X'} = \pi_* \mathcal{M}_X$. The conductor $\mathfrak{c}$ is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ because of $1 \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}$. The subvariety defined by this subsheaf of ideals is the set S' of non-normal points in X'. Here, S' equals the set of singular points in X' because X' is one-dimensional. With $S := \pi^{-1}[S']$, the one-sheeted covering $\pi : X \setminus S \to X' \setminus S'$ is biholomorphic. Moreover, let r_q be the radical

$$r_q := \{ f \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_q \mid \forall\, p \in \pi^{-1}[\{q\}] \,:\, \pi^* f(p) = 0 \}. \tag{3}$$

Proposition 2.1. (a) $\delta_q := \dim(\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q/\mathcal{O}_q) < \infty$.

(b) *For $q \in X'$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with*

$$\mathbb{C} + r_q^n \subset \mathbb{C} + \mathfrak{c}_q \subset \mathcal{O}_q \subset \mathbb{C} + r_q \subset \bar{\mathcal{O}}_q. \tag{4}$$

Proof. (a) Due to [dJ-P, Theorem 6.3.7] $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q$ is a coherent sheaf on X'. This implies that $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q/\mathcal{O}_q$ is also a coherent sheaf ([dJ-P, 6.2.1]). The support of a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{S}$ over X' is defined as

$$\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{S}) := \{ q \in X' \mid \mathcal{S}_q \neq 0 \}. \tag{5}$$

In particular, the support of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q/\mathcal{O}_q$ is equal to S' and has Weierstraß dimension 0 ([dJ-P, Definition 4.13]). We claim that the support of a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{S}$ on X' is the subvariety

$$\{ q \in X' \mid \forall\, f \in \operatorname{Ann}_q(\mathcal{S}) \,:\, f(q) = 0 \}$$

which is defined by the sheaf $\operatorname{Ann}(\mathcal{S})$ of ideals called the annihilator of $\mathcal{S}$:

$$\operatorname{Ann}_q(\mathcal{S}) := \{ f \in \mathcal{O}_q \mid \forall\, s \in \mathcal{S}_q \,:\, f \cdot s = 0 \in \mathcal{S}_q \} \quad \forall\, q \in X'.$$

In fact, if $q \in X' \setminus \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{S})$, then $\mathcal{S}_q = 0$ and so $\operatorname{Ann}_q(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{O}_q$ contains functions not vanishing at q. Conversely, if $\operatorname{Ann}_q(\mathcal{S})$ contains units of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_q$ with the maximal ideal $\{ f \in \mathcal{O}_q \mid f(q) = 0 \}$, then $\operatorname{Ann}_q(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{O}_q$ and $f \cdot s = 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{O}_q$ and $s \in \mathcal{S}_q$. Since $\mathcal{S}_q$ is finitely generated (over $\mathcal{O}_q$), this implies $\mathcal{S}_q = 0$ and proves the claim.

Clearly $\mathcal{S}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{X'}/\operatorname{Ann}(\mathcal{S})$-module. Due to the Noether normalisation ([dJ-P, Corollary 3.3.19 with $k = 0$ and $I = \operatorname{Ann}_q(\mathcal{S})$]), the

stalks of a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{S}$ with zero-dimensional support are finitely generated $\mathbb{C}$-modules and therefore finite-dimensional vector spaces. In particular, δ_q is finite.
(b) We show $\mathbb{C} + r_q^n \subset \mathbb{C} + \bar{\mathcal{O}}_q$ by decomposing

$$\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q = \bigoplus_{p \in \pi^{-1}[\{q\}]} \mathcal{O}_p, \tag{6}$$

where the $\mathcal{O}_p$ are local rings with maximal ideals r_p and

$$r_q = \bigoplus_{p \in \pi^{-1}[\{q\}]} r_p \ .$$

On the $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q$-module $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q/\mathcal{O}_q$, the natural homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_p \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathcal{O}}_q$ induces for each $p \in \pi^{-1}[\{q\}]$ the structure of an $\mathcal{O}_p$-module. Since $\delta_q < \infty$, this module is finitely generated. The application of Krull's intersection Theorem [dJ-P, Corollary 1.3.5] to this module over the Noetherian local ring $\mathcal{O}_p$ with maximal ideal r_p yields $n_p \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r_p^{n_p} \subset \mathfrak{c}_q \subset \bar{\mathcal{O}}_q$. Choosing $n := \max\{n_p \mid p \in \pi^{-1}[\{q\}]\}$, we obtain

$$r_q^n = \left(\bigoplus\nolimits_{p \in \pi^{-1}[\{q\}]} r_p \right)^n = \bigoplus\nolimits_{p \in \pi^{-1}[\{q\}]} r_p^n \subset \mathfrak{c}_q \ .$$

q.e.d.

Finally, we remark that $\delta_q > 0$ is equivalent to $q \in S'$. In fact, if $q \in S'$, then $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q \neq \mathcal{O}_q$, so $\delta_q > 0$. Conversely, if $\delta_q = 0$, then $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q = \mathcal{O}_q$, so $q \notin S'$.

2.2. **Construction of a one-dimensional complex analytic space from its normalisation.** In the preceding section, X' was given. But now, a Riemann surface X is given and we construct the one-dimensional singular complex analytic spaces X' with normalisation X. We call such X' singular curves. We will see that every singular curve X' is given by the data $(X, S, R, \mathcal{O}_{X'})$. Here, X is a Riemann surface, S is a discrete subset of X and R is an equivalence relation on S. This triple (X, S, R) defines the topological space X':

Extend R to an equivalence relation on X such that every $p \in X \setminus S$ is equivalent only to itself. Then, define the topological quotient space $X' := X/R$ with canonical map $\pi : X \to X'$. This map will turn out to be the normalisation map of X' and the set $S' := \pi[S] \subset X'$ will be the set of singular points of X'. In particular, the equivalence class of a singular point $q \in S'$ contains all $p \in S$ which are in the preimage $\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]$ in the normalisation.

Let $\mathcal{O}_X$ be the sheaf of holomorphic functions on X and $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'} := \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X$ its direct image on X'. Then, the last datum $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ is a sheaf of subrings

of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}$ with two properties. At first, $\mathcal{O}_q \subsetneq \bar{\mathcal{O}}_q$ if and only if $q \in S'$. Secondly, for every $q \in S'$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\mathbb{C} + r_q^n \subset \mathcal{O}_q \subset \mathbb{C} + r_q \,, \tag{7}$$

where r_q is the radical of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q$ as defined in (3).

Remark 2.2. *(1) For $q \in S'$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbb{C}+r_q^n \subset \mathcal{O}_q$ if and only if $\delta_q = \dim(\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q/\mathcal{O}_q) < \infty$. In fact, one has*

$$\dim\left(\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q/(\mathbb{C}+r_q^n)\right) = n \cdot \#\pi^{-1}[\{q\}] - 1.$$

The other direction follows from the proof of Proposition 2.1 (b).

(2) The condition $\mathcal{O}_q \subset \mathbb{C} + r_q$ has the consequence that the holomorphic functions on X' do not separate the points of $\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]$.

(3) Choosing the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ is equivalent to choosing subrings $\mathcal{O}_q$ of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q$ obeying (7) for all $q \in S'$. Indeed, together with $\mathcal{O}_q = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_q$ for $q \in X' \setminus S'$, any such choice defines a sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ on X' since restricting the stalks $\mathcal{O}_q$ for $q \in S'$ to a subset of finite codimension does not affect the subrings $\mathcal{O}_q$ for $q \notin S'$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $(X, S, R, \mathcal{O}_{X'})$ be given as above. Then, up to isomorphism, there exists exactly one singular curve X' with normalisation X, set of singular points $S' = S/R$ and sheaf of holomorphic functions $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$. Moreover, every singular curve X' can be obtained in this way.*

Proof. As before, we extend the relation R to X and consider $X' := X/R$ as topological space with the canonical projection $\pi : X \to X'$. We view X' as a ringed space with the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$. Then $\pi|_{X\setminus S} : X \setminus S \to X' \setminus S'$ induces an isomorphism of ringed spaces via the direct image of sheaves. This implies that $X' \setminus S'$ is a smooth complex curve and that $\pi|_{X\setminus S}$ is biholomorphic. It remains to show that X' is a complex curve with normalisation X.

As a first step, we prove that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in S'$, the integral closure of $\mathbb{C} + r_q^n$ is equal to $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q$. For every $f \in r_q$, we have $f^n \in r_q^n$, so r_q is integral over r_q^n. Moreover, every $f \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_q$ which is locally constant on X is a root of a polynomial with constant coefficients and therefore integral over $\mathbb{C}$. Due to [dJ-P, Example 4.4.7 (1)], $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q = (\mathbb{C}\{x\})^{\#\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]}$ is integrally closed in the stalk of meromorphic germs. It follows that $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q$ is the integral closure of $\mathbb{C} + r_q^n$ since every $f \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_q$ is the sum of an element of r_q and a germ which is locally constant on X. This first step together with (7) yields that $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q$ is also the integral closure of $\mathcal{O}_q$.

In the second step, we transfer the application of [Se, III §3.12 Lemma 10] in the proof of [Se, IV §1.3 Proposition 2] and the proof of

that Lemma to our situation ($A = \mathbb{C} + r_q$ and $B = \mathcal{O}_q$). Instead of showing that $\mathbb{C} + r_q$ is of finite type in the sense of Serre, we find $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathbb{C} + r_q$ such that the canonical map $\varphi : \mathbb{C}\{f_1, \dots, f_k\} \to \mathbb{C} + r_q$ is surjective. This means that $\mathbb{C}\{f_1, \dots f_k\}/\ker(\varphi) \simeq \mathbb{C} + r_q$. More precisely, let $\pi^{-1}[\{q\}] = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ and choose f_i as a local coordinate at p_i (i.e. $f_i(p_i) = 0$ and $f_i'(p_i) \neq 0$) and as identically zero near p_j for $j \neq i$. Due to the first step, each f_i is a root of a monic polynomial P_i with coefficients in $\mathbb{C} + r_q^n \subset \mathcal{O}_q$. We collect the coefficients of all these polynomials, say $b_1, \dots, b_r \in \mathcal{O}_q$. Let C be the image of the canonical map $\psi : \mathbb{C}\{b_1, \dots, b_r\} \to \mathcal{O}_q$. Again, C is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\{b_1, \dots, b_r\}/\ker(\psi)$ and therefore Noetherian [dJ-P, Corollary 1.1.4].

We claim that $\mathbb{C} + r_q$ is a Noetherian C-module. Due to the Weierstraß Division Theorem [dJ-P, Theorem 3.2.3], $C\{f_1\}/(P_1)$ is as a C-module generated by $f_1, f_1^2, \dots, f_1^{\deg P_1 - 1}$. Inductively, this shows that $C\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}/(P_1, \dots P_k)$ is a finitely generated C-module. Because C is Noetherian, the claim follows from [dJ-P, Lemma 1.2.15].

By [dJ-P, Definition 1.2.13], the submodule $\mathcal{O}_q$ of $\mathbb{C} + r_q$ is a finitely generated C-module with generators $y_1, \dots, y_m \in \mathcal{O}_q$. Then, the canonical map $\mathbb{C}\{b_1, \dots, b_r, y_r, \dots y_m\} \to \mathcal{O}_q$ with kernel I is surjective. Hence, $\mathcal{O}_q \simeq \mathbb{C}\{b_1, \dots, b_r, y_1, \dots, y_m\}/I$. The ideal I of the Noetherian ring $\mathbb{C}\{b_1, \dots, b_r, y_r, \dots y_m\}$ is finitely generated. In particular, X' nearby q is a complex analytic space. This holds for all $q \in S'$ and therefore X' is a complex curve. By [dJ-P, Definition 1.5.3], the first step shows that $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q$ is the normalisation of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_q$. Since this holds for all $q \in S'$, X is the normalisation of X' by [dJ-P, Definition 4.4.5].

Conversely, if a singular curve X' is given, then let X be the normalisation of X' and S, R and $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ as in Section 2.1. The data $(X, S, R, \mathcal{O}_{X'})$ yields the singular curve X'. More precisely, (X, S, R) uniquely determines the topological space X' and $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ is the structure sheaf of the singular curve X'. **q.e.d.**

Remark 2.4. *Compared to the situation in* [Se, IV §1.3 Proposition 2]*, we have the advantage that X' is already determined by X at regular points. So we only have to describe X nearby the points of S'. At a first sight, choosing $A = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_q$ might seem to be more natural than $A = \mathbb{C} + r_q$ in the proof of the proposition. However, $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q$ has several maximal ideals. With $A = \mathbb{C} + r_q$, both rings A and B are local rings of the form $\mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}/I$ with suitable ideals I. Establishing this property of B is the essential step in the proof of Proposition 2.3.*

Example 2.5. *Examples of singular points on a Riemann surface X.*

(1) ***Ordinary double point***. *Let $p_1 \neq p_2 \in X$, $S = \{p_1, p_2\}$ and R the relation which identifies p_1 with p_2. At the unique point $q \in S/R$, the ring $\mathcal{O}_q$ is the subring of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q = \mathcal{O}_{p_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{p_2}$ of elements $f_1 \oplus f_2$ with $f_1(p_1) = f_2(p_2)$. In this case $\mathcal{O}_q$ equals $\mathbb{C} + r_q$ and is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\{x_1, x_2\}/(x_1 \cdot x_2)$. Here $\delta_q = 1$.*

(2) ***Ordinary cusp***. *Let $p \in X$, $S = \{p\} = \{q\} = S'$. At q, the ring $\mathcal{O}_q$ is the subring of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q = \mathcal{O}_p$ of elements f with $f'(p) = 0$. In this case, $\mathcal{O}_q$ equals $\mathbb{C} + r_q^2$. Hence, $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q \simeq \mathbb{C}\{t\}$ and $\mathcal{O}_q$ is the subring generated by $x_1 = t^2$ and $x_2 = t^3$. The kernel of the natural map $\mathbb{C}\{x_1, x_2\} \to \mathbb{C}\{t\}$ is defined by $(x_2^2 - x_1^3)$, and therefore $\mathcal{O}_q$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\{x_1, x_2\}/(x_2^2 - x_1^3)$. Here, $\delta_q = 1$.*

(3) ***Singular point defined by a divisor***. *Let $D = \sum_{i=1}^N n_i p_i$ be a finite divisor on X with $n_i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $S = \{p_1, \ldots, p_N\}$ and R the unique relation identifying all points of S to one point $q \in S'$. At q, the ring $\mathcal{O}_q$ is the subring $\mathbb{C} + \bigoplus_{i=1}^N (r_{p_i})^{n_i}$ of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \mathcal{O}_{p_i}$. Here, $\delta_q = \deg D - 1$. For $D = p_1 + p_2$, we obtain the ordinary point in Example 1 and for $D = 2p$, we obtain the ordinary cusp in Example 2.*

3. Generalised Divisors

In this section, we transfer the concept of a line bundle on a Riemann surface to a singular curve X' for which we use the notations introduced in Section 2.1.

There exists a notion of line bundles on singular curves, see [G-P-R, Chapter II §3]. However, the concept we introduce here is based on divisors instead of on line bundles. On Riemann surfaces, both concepts are equivalent, see [Fo, §29, §18], but on singular curves, generalised divisors are more general than line bundles. In Section 8, we will use generalised divisors to construct so-called Baker-Akhiezer functions on singular curves. Our aim is to construct Baker-Akhiezer functions on singular curves in the most general setting we can imagine.

On smooth surfaces, divisors D correspond to finitely generated subsheaves $\mathcal{O}_D$ of $\mathcal{M}$, compare [Fo, §16.4]. This correspondence inspires the following definition:

Definition 3.1. [Ha-86, §1] *A* generalised divisor *on X' is a finitely generated subsheaf $\mathcal{S}$ of the sheaf of meromorphic functions on X'. The* support $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{S})$ *of a generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}$ is the set of all $q \in X'$ such that $\mathcal{S}_q \neq \mathcal{O}_q$.*

Here, we extend the definition of the support for classical divisors to generalised divisors, in deviation from the definition of the support of a coherent sheaf (5).

Remark 3.2. *The sheaves corresponding to line bundles are characterized as locally free sheaves of rank* 1*. These sheaves are also called invertible because the tensor product with such a sheaf has an inverse* [Ha, p. 143]*. A subsheaf of the sheaf of meromorphic functions which is locally free cannot have rank* ≥ 2 *since a sheaf homomorphism of* $\mathcal{O}_{X'}^n$ *into a generalised divisor cannot be injective if* $n \geq 2$*. We will see in Corollary 5.3 that every locally free sheaf of rank* 1 *has a meromorphic section. Therefore, the correspondence between line bundles and divisors on Riemann surfaces (*[Fo, §29]*) yields a correspondence between line bundles and locally free generalised divisors on singular curves. In particular, invertible sheaves are the same as locally free generalised divisors.*

Proposition 3.3. *The support of a generalised divisor* $\mathcal{S}$ *is a discrete subset of* X'*.*

Proof. For $q \in X'$, there exists an open neighbourhood U of q such that $\mathcal{S}$ is generated as an $\mathcal{O}_U$-module by finitely many meromorphic functions $f_1, \ldots, f_n$, where n can depend on q. If all f_i have no pole and at least one f_i does not vanish at q, then $\mathcal{S}_q$ equals $\mathcal{O}_q$. Therefore, the support of $\mathcal{S}$ is a discrete subset of X'. **q.e.d.**

Near a regular point of X', a generator of maximal pole order respectively minimal zero order alone suffices to generate $\mathcal{S}$. In particular, on the regular set of X', $\mathcal{S}$ equals $\mathcal{O}_D$ for some classical divisor D.

The next step is to define the degree of $\mathcal{S}$. Note that for any pair $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$ of generalised divisors, there exists a divisor $\mathcal{S}$ with

$$\mathcal{S}_1 \subset \mathcal{S} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_2 \subset \mathcal{S}\,. \tag{8}$$

Indeed, the smallest $\mathcal{S}$ with this property is generated locally by the union of local generators of $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$.

Proposition 3.4. *For generalised divisors* $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$ *and* $\mathcal{S}$ *with finite support and* (8)*, the difference*

$$\dim H^0(X', \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{S}_2) - \dim H^0(X', \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{S}_1)$$

does not depend on $\mathcal{S}$*.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}'$ be given with finite support and $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2 \subset \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}'$. We have to show that

$$\dim H^0(X', \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{S}_2) - \dim H^0(X', \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{S}_1) = \\ = \dim H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{S}_2) - \dim H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{S}_1)\ . \quad (9)$$

There always exists $\mathcal{S}''$ with finite support and $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{S}''$. Hence, we may suppose without loss of generality that $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}'$ holds.

For $k = 1, 2$, the sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{S}_k \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{S}_k \longrightarrow 0$$

are exact since $\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{S}_k$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{S}_k$ and $\mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{S}$. Hence, the corresponding long exact sequences on the cohomology spaces are also exact, see [Fo, §15]. Because the generalised divisors involved have finite support, the arguments in the proof of [Fo, 16.7 Lemma] show that the first cohomology groups of all sheaves in the long exact sequences vanish. Therefore, they reduce to

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{S}_k) \longrightarrow H^0(X', \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{S}_k) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Due to the exactness, the alternating sums of the dimensions vanish and thus, we have

$$\dim H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{S}_k) = \dim H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{S}) + \dim H^0(X', \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{S}_k).$$

Taking the difference of these equations for $k = 1$ and $k = 2$ gives (9). **q.e.d.**

Definition 3.5. *The* degree *of $\mathcal{S}$ with finite support is defined as*

$$\deg(\mathcal{S}) := \dim H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{O}_{X'}) - \dim H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{S}),$$

where $\mathcal{S}'$ is any generalised divisor with finite support containing $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$.

Because the support of a given generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}$ is discrete by Proposition 3.3, there exists for each $q \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{S})$ a generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}(q)$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{S}(q)) = \{q\}$ and $\mathcal{S}(q)_q = \mathcal{S}_q$. We call $\deg_q(\mathcal{S}) := \deg(\mathcal{S}(q))$ the *degree of $\mathcal{S}$ at q*. The *classical divisor $D(\mathcal{S})$ associated to $\mathcal{S}$* is defined by

$$D(\mathcal{S}) := \sum_{q \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{S})} \deg_q(\mathcal{S}) \cdot q\ .$$

Vice versa, for every classical divisor D on X', there exists a generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}$ with $D(\mathcal{S}) = D$. Indeed, $\mathcal{S}$ can be chosen as the locally free divisor generated locally by a suitable meromorphic function f. We choose f such that the classical divisor on X of the local generator f, considered as a meromorphic function on X, is projected

onto $D(\mathcal{S})$ by the normalisation map π. Then $\deg_q(\mathcal{S}) = \deg_q(D)$ holds for every $q \in X'$ since

$$\sum_{p\in\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]} \deg_p(f\cdot\mathcal{O}_X) = \deg_q(f\cdot\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}) - \delta_q = \deg_q(f\cdot\mathcal{O}_{X'})\,. \quad (10)$$

Note that on the left-hand side, the degree is calculated in X whereas in the middle and on the right-hand side, it is calculated in X'. However, $\mathcal{S}$ is not determined uniquely by $D(\mathcal{S})$, because the projection of divisors on X onto X' is not injective if π is not injective. On smooth complex curves X', there is a 1–1 correspondence between generalised divisors $\mathcal{S}$ and classical divisors $D(\mathcal{S})$.

Furthermore, if X' is not smooth, there exist generalised divisors on X' which are not locally free and therefore, a generalised divisor on X' is then not uniquely determined by its classical divisor.

Example 3.6 (Ordinary Cusp)**.** *Consider the curve* $X' = \{(x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{C}^2 \,|\, x_2^2 = x_1^3\}$ *which is singular at* $(0,0)$ *with the normalisation* $\pi : \mathbb{C}\to X',\ t\mapsto(t^2,t^3)$*, see Example 2.5* (2)*. We choose the generalised divisor* $\mathcal{S} := \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}$*. Then,* $\mathcal{S}$ *is generated by* 1 *and* $\frac{x_2}{x_1}$*. Therefore, we have*

$$\deg(\mathcal{S}) = \dim H^0(X',\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{O}_{X'}) = 1 = \delta_{(0,0)}\,.$$

The classical divisor associated to $\mathcal{S}$ *is* $D(\mathcal{S}) = (0,0)$*. In this case,* π *is injective, so there exists a unique locally free generalised divisor* $\mathcal{S}'$ *associated to* $D(\mathcal{S})$*.* $\mathcal{S}'$ *is generated by* $\frac{x_1}{x_2}$*. Thus, we have* $\mathcal{S}'\neq\mathcal{S}$ *and therefore,* $\mathcal{S}$ *is not locally free.*

4. The middleding

Only locally free generalised divisors determine the structure sheaf of the underlying singular curve uniquely. In Example 3.6, the first generalised divisor on the singular curve with cusp is also a generalised divisor on the normalisation of that curve. In this section we investigate possible choices of structure sheaves for a given generalised divisor. Here, we are interested only in extensions of the structure sheaf of the original singular curve. More precisely: For a given singular curve X' with a generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}'$ on X', we look for another pair $(X'',\mathcal{S}'')$ with a holomorphic map $\pi' : X''\to X'$ such that $\pi'_*\mathcal{S}'' = \mathcal{S}'$. Because of the latter condition, the map π' has to be birational for non-trivial $\mathcal{S}'$. Therefore, we consider a one-sheeted covering $\pi' : X''\to X'$, i.e. π' is regular and biholomorphic away from a discrete subset of X''. This set is contained in the preimage of the singular set S'. For any generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}''$ on X'', the direct image $\pi'_*\mathcal{S}''$ is a generalised divisor on X'.

Lemma 4.1. *On a one-sheeted covering $\pi' : X'' \to X'$, there exists a generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}''$ on X'' with $\pi'_*\mathcal{S}'' = \mathcal{S}'$ if and only if the multiplication with meromorphic functions in $\pi'_*\mathcal{O}_{X''}$ maps $\mathcal{S}'$ into itself. Such one-sheeted coverings $\pi' : X'' \to X'$ are in 1–1 correspondence with sheaves $\mathcal{R}$ of subrings of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}$ which act on $\mathcal{S}'$ and contain $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$.*

Proof. Let $\pi' : X'' \to X'$ be a one-sheeted covering and $\mathcal{S}''$ the $\mathcal{O}_{X''}$-module locally generated by the pullbacks of some choice of local generators of $\mathcal{S}'$. Because $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{X''}$ contains $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$, $\pi'_*\mathcal{S}''$ is the $\pi'_*\mathcal{O}_{X''}$-module generated by these generators of $\mathcal{S}'$. If $\mathcal{S}'$ is a $\pi'_*\mathcal{O}_{X''}$-module, then $\pi_*\mathcal{S}'' = \mathcal{S}'$ holds. Conversely, if $\pi'_*\mathcal{S}'' = \mathcal{S}'$ holds, then $\mathcal{S}'$ is a $\pi'_*\mathcal{O}_{X''}$-module since $\mathcal{S}''$ is a $\mathcal{O}_{X''}$-module.

For the proof of the 1–1 correspondence, we first note that for such one-sheeted coverings, $\pi'_*\mathcal{O}_{X''}$ is a sheaf of subrings of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}$ which acts on $\mathcal{S}'$ and contains $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$. Conversely, let $\mathcal{R}$ be a sheaf of subrings of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}$ which acts on $\mathcal{S}'$ and contains $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$. For $q \in S'$, the stalks $\mathcal{R}_q$ are not necessarily contained in $\mathbb{C} + r_q$, see Equation (7). $\mathcal{R}$ implicitly determines the topological space X'': For each $q \in S'$, only those points of $\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]$ correspond to different points in X'' which are separated by elements of $\mathcal{R}_q$. Define an equivalence relation R'' on S such that two points of S are equivalent if and only if each element of $\mathcal{R}$ (considered as a function on X) takes the same value at the two points. The triple (X, S, R'') defines X'' as a topological space as in Proposition 2.3 and also defines the map $X \to X''$. Because of the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{X'} \subset \mathcal{R}$, the holomorphic functions on X', considered as holomorphic functions on X, are constant on the equivalence classes of R''. Hence, the equivalence classes of R are subsets of the equivalence classes of R''. This defines the one-sheeted covering $\pi' : X'' \to X'$ which splits π into $X \to X'' \to X'$.

By definition of R'', there exists for every $p'' \in \pi'^{-1}[\{q\}]$ an element $P_{p''} \in \mathcal{R}_q$ which is identically 1 near all points in the equivalence class of R'' corresponding to p'' and identically 0 near all other points of $\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]$. Because of $\sum_{p'' \in \pi'^{-1}[\{q\}]} P_{p''} = 1$, the decomposition (6) induces the following decomposition of $\mathcal{R}_q$ into subrings, see Remark 2.2 (2):

$$\mathcal{R}_q = \bigoplus_{p'' \in \pi'^{-1}[\{q\}]} P_{p''} \cdot \mathcal{R}_q \ .$$

Therefore, there exists a unique subsheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X''}$ of the direct image of $\mathcal{O}_X$ on X'' with $\pi'_*\mathcal{O}_{X''} = \mathcal{R}$. For all equivalence classes q'' of R'', the ring $\mathcal{O}_{X'',q''}$ is contained in $\mathbb{C} + r_{q''}$ with the radical $r_{q''}$ defined analogously as r_q in Equation (3). Due to Proposition 2.3, the data

$(X, S, R'', \mathcal{O}_{X''})$ corresponds to a singular curve X'' with normalisation X and a map $\pi' : X'' \to X'$. Since $\pi'_*\mathcal{O}_{X''} = \mathcal{R}$ contains $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ with equality on $X' \setminus S'$, the map π' is a one-sheeted covering and $\pi'_*\mathcal{O}_{X''}$ acts on $\mathcal{S}'$. **q.e.d.**

Definition 4.2. *For a generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}'$ on a singular curve X', let $\pi_{X(\mathcal{S}')} : X(\mathcal{S}') \to X'$ be the unique one-sheeted covering such that*

$$(\pi_{X(\mathcal{S}')})_*\mathcal{O}_{X(\mathcal{S}')} = \{f \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'} \mid f \cdot g \in \mathcal{S}' \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{S}'\} .$$

We call $X(\mathcal{S}')$ the middleding *of the divisor $\mathcal{S}'$.*

By definition, $(\pi_{X(\mathcal{S}')})_*\mathcal{O}_{X(\mathcal{S}')}$ is the largest subring of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}$ that acts on $\mathcal{S}'$. A two-fold application of the Lemma 4.1 to the pairs $(X', \mathcal{S}')$ and $(X'', \mathcal{S}'')$ shows that the one-sheeted coverings described in this lemma are exactly those which split the normalisation map π into

$$X \to X(\mathcal{S}') \to X'' \to X'.$$

The name middleding should remind the reader that $X(\mathcal{S}')$ is a one-sheeted covering in between the normalisation X and X'.

In Example (3.6) for the generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}$, the middleding is the normalisation $X(\mathcal{S}) = X$ and for the locally free generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}'$, the middleding is $X(\mathcal{S}') = X'$. Both corresponding generalised divisors on the middleding constructed in Lemma 4.1 are locally free.

Because locally free generalised divisors are better-behaved (see Remark 3.2), given a pair $(X', \mathcal{S}')$, it is natural to look for a pair $(X'', \mathcal{S}'')$ as in Lemma 4.1 such that $\mathcal{S}''$ is locally free. The following lemma gives a necessary condition for X''.

Lemma 4.3. *In the situation of Lemma 4.1, the generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}''$ can be locally free only if $X'' = X(\mathcal{S}')$ holds.*

Proof. Let $\pi' : X'' \to X'$ be a one-sheeted covering with a locally free generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}''$ such that $\pi'_*\mathcal{S}'' = \mathcal{S}'$ holds. Locally at $q \in X'$, there exists an $f \in \mathcal{S}'_q$ such that $(\pi'_*\mathcal{O}_{X''})_q \to \mathcal{S}'_q$, $g \mapsto gf$ is an isomorphism. Then, the ring $(\pi'_*\mathcal{O}_{X''})_q$ is equal to

$$\{g \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q} \mid gf \in \mathcal{S}'_q\} = \{g \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q} \mid gh \in \mathcal{S}'_q \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{S}'_q\}$$

since the multiplication with f is an injective map from the stalk of meromorphic functions into itself. This implies $(\pi_{X(\mathcal{S}')})_*\mathcal{O}_{X(\mathcal{S}')} = \pi'_*\mathcal{O}_{X''}$ by Definition 4.2 of $X(\mathcal{S}')$. Now, Lemma 4.1 yields that $X(\mathcal{S}') = X''$. **q.e.d.**

Theorem 4.4. *Let X' be a (possibly branched) two-sheeted covering above a smooth Riemann surface Y. Then, for every generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}'$ on X', the unique sheaf $\mathcal{S}''$ on the middleding $X(\mathcal{S}')$ in Lemma 4.1 is locally free.*

By definition, every hyperelliptic singular curve X' is a branched two-sheeted covering over $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Proof. On the complement of the branch points of the two-sheeted covering $X' \to Y$ and the singularities of X', there exists a unique holomorphic involution ι that interchanges any two points with the same image in Y. By the Riemann Extension Theorem ([dJ-P, Theorem 3.1.15]), ι extends to an involution on the normalisation X. Let $q \in X'$ be either a singular point or a branch point. The involution ι acts on $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}$. We decompose $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}$ into the symmetric part $\bar{\mathcal{O}}^+_{X',q}$ and the anti-symmetric part $\bar{\mathcal{O}}^-_{X',q}$ with respect to ι. For $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X',q}$, we write $f = f_+ + f_-$ with $f_\pm \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}^\pm_{X',q}$. Due to [Fo, Proposition 8.2], the functions in $\bar{\mathcal{O}}^+_{X',q}$ are pullbacks of holomorphic functions on Y. Hence, $\bar{\mathcal{O}}^+_{X',q} = \mathbb{C}\{y\} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X',q}$, where y is the pullback of a local coordinate on Y centered at the image of q in Y. In particular, $f_+ \in \mathcal{O}_{X',q}$ and $f_- = f - f_+ \in \mathcal{O}_{X',q}$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X',q}$. Consequently, the involution ι acts on $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ and thus on X'.

The elements of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}^-_{X',q} \cap \mathcal{O}_{X',q}$ vanish at q: If $\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]$ contains only one point, then all elements of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}^-_{X',q}$ vanish at q. If $\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]$ contains two points interchanged by ι, then the elements of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}^-_{X',q} \cap \mathcal{O}_{X',q}$ vanish since they have the same and simultaneously the opposite value at these two points.

Since $\delta_q < \infty$, the codimension of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}^-_{X',q} \cap \mathcal{O}_{X',q}$ in $\bar{\mathcal{O}}^-_{X',q}$ is finite. So for all $p \in \pi^{-1}[\{q\}]$, there exists an element of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}^-_{X',q} \cap \mathcal{O}_{X',q}$ which vanishes at p of minimal order. Let x be the sum of two such elements if $\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]$ contains two points. Thereby, we obtain $x \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}^-_{X',q} \cap \mathcal{O}_{X',q}$ which vanishes at all $p \in \pi^{-1}[\{q\}]$ of minimal order. Then for every element $\widetilde{x}$ of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}^-_{X',q}$, the quotient $\widetilde{x}/x$ is locally bounded and thus belongs to $\bar{\mathcal{O}}^+_{X',q}$. Therefore, $\bar{\mathcal{O}}^-_{X',q} \cap \mathcal{O}_{X',q}$ is a free $\bar{\mathcal{O}}^+_{X',q}$-module generated by x. Since $x^2 \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}^+_{X,q} = \mathbb{C}\{y\}$, there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a unit $u \in \mathbb{C}\{y\}$ with $x^2 = y^n \cdot u$. The unit u has a square root in $\mathbb{C}\{y\}$ and by multiplying x with $\sqrt{u}^{-1}$ the equation simplifies to

$$x^2 = y^n \,.$$

We only need to consider the singularities of X' because everywhere else, S' is locally free. Therefore, we may suppose $n \geq 2$. The cases of even n and of odd n are treated separately.

For $n = 2m$ even, the preimage of q in X consists of two points. Since $\left(\frac{x}{y^m}\right)^2 = 1$, $\frac{x}{y^m} = \pm 1$ generates $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}$ as a $\bar{\mathcal{O}}^+_{X',q} (= \mathbb{C}\{y\})$-module, so the mapping $\mathbb{C}\{y, \frac{x}{y^m}\} \to \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}$ is surjective.

For $n = 2m + 1$ odd, the preimage of q in X consists of only one point. Then, $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q} = \mathbb{C}\{\frac{x}{y^m}\}$.

For every $p \in \pi^{-1}[\{q\}]$, let f_p minimize $\mathrm{ord}_p(\pi^* f_p)$ in $\mathcal{S}'_q$. Then a suitable linear combination f of the (one or two) f_p minimizes $\sum_{p\in\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]} \mathrm{ord}_p(\pi^* f)$ in $\mathcal{S}'_q$. In this situation, we have

$$f\mathcal{O}_{X',q} \subset \mathcal{S}'_q \subset f\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q} \ .$$

We decompose $\mathcal{S}'_q$ in $f\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q} = f\mathcal{O}_{X',q} \oplus (f\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}/f\mathcal{O}_{X',q})$. Then, $\mathcal{S}'_q$ is uniquely determined by the image of $\mathcal{S}'_q$ in $f\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}/f\mathcal{O}_{X',q}$.

Clearly, one has

$$\dim H^0(X', f\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}/f\mathcal{O}_{X',q}) = \dim H^0(X', \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}/\mathcal{O}_{X',q}) = \delta_q = m \ .$$

with a basis of $H^0(X', \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}/\mathcal{O}_{X',q})$ given by

$$\frac{x}{y}, \frac{x}{y^2}, \ldots, \frac{x}{y^m} \ ,$$

regardless of whether n is even or odd. Because of $\mathcal{S}'_q \subset f\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}$, there exists a greatest integer ℓ such that $\frac{x}{y^\ell} \cdot f \in \mathcal{S}'_q$. Then,

$$\frac{x}{y^\ell} y^k f = \frac{x}{y^{\ell-k}} f \in \mathcal{S}'_q$$

and so,

$$\mathcal{S}'_q = \mathcal{O}_{X',q} f + \mathbb{C}\frac{x}{y^\ell} f + \mathbb{C}\frac{x}{y^{\ell-1}} f + \cdots + \mathbb{C}\frac{x}{y} f \ .$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{S}'_q$ is locally free with generator f with respect to the image of $\mathbb{C}\{y, \frac{x}{y^\ell}\} \to \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}$. The kernel of this map is generated by

$$\left(\frac{x}{y^\ell}\right)^2 - y^{n-2\ell} \ .$$

Hence, the one-sheeted covering $\pi' : X'' \to X'$ from Lemma 4.1 such that $\pi'_* \mathcal{O}_{X''}$ is equal to the image of $\mathbb{C}\{y, \frac{x}{y^\ell}\} \to \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}$ is the middleding $X(\mathcal{S}')$ and $\mathcal{S}'' = f \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X''}$ is locally free on X''. **q.e.d.**

The middleding of holomorphic matrices. Our next objective is to construct a generalised divisor such that the lift to its middleding is not locally free. For this purpose, we introduce a general construction of pairs $(X', \mathcal{S}')$. This construction also plays a prominent role in the theory of integrable systems. For many integrable systems, the construction is used to associate to a solution of the related differential equation such a pair $(X', \mathcal{S}')$ of so-called spectral data. It is a typical result in this theory that this construction yields a 1–1 correspondence between solutions and spectral data, see for example [Hi, Sch].

Let $A : Y \to \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ be a holomorphic map from a singular curve Y into the space of complex $(n \times n)$-matrices. In most applications, Y is either $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{C}^*$ and A extends in some sense to $\mathbb{P}^1$. The singular curve X' is defined as

$$X' = \left\{ (\upsilon, \mu) \in Y \times \mathbb{C} \mid \det\bigl(\mu \cdot \mathbb{1} - A(\upsilon)\bigr) = 0 \right\}. \tag{11}$$

We make the overall hypothesis that the zero set of the discriminant of the characteristic polynomial is discrete in Y. We denote the complement of this set by Y_0. In particular, for $(\upsilon, \mu) \in (Y_0 \times \mathbb{C}) \cap X'$, the eigenspace of $A(\upsilon)$ with eigenvalue μ is one-dimensional. We fix a linear form $\ell : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ such that the set of those $(\upsilon, \mu) \in X'$ is discrete for which the kernel of ℓ contains non-trivial eigenvectors of $A(\upsilon)$ with eigenvalue μ. There exists a unique global meromorphic function $\psi = (\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n) : X' \to \mathbb{C}^n$ with

$$A \cdot \psi = \mu \cdot \psi \quad \text{and} \quad \ell(\psi) = 1,$$

where we regard A, μ and ψ as functions on X'. Locally, ψ can be obtained from any holomorphic eigenfunction φ by taking $\psi = \varphi/\ell(\varphi)$. The corresponding generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}'$ on X' is generated by $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n$. If the eigenspaces of A define a line bundle on X', then $\mathcal{S}'$ describes the dual eigenline bundle in the sense of the correspondence between divisors and line bundles, see [Fo, §29]. Moreover, the generalised divisor generated by the entries $\tilde\psi_1, \ldots, \tilde\psi_n$ of the eigenfunction $\tilde\psi$ normalised by another admissible linear form $\tilde\ell$ is obtained from $\mathcal{S}'$ by multiplication with the global meromorphic function $\ell(\psi)/\tilde\ell(\psi) = 1/\tilde\ell(\psi)$ of Y and therefore isomorphic to $\mathcal{S}'$. Thus, the isomorphy class of $\mathcal{S}'$ does not depend on the choice of ℓ.

Proposition 4.5.

$$\Phi : (\mathcal{O}_Y)^n \to \upsilon_*\mathcal{S}', \quad (f_1, \ldots, f_n) \mapsto f_1\psi_1 + \ldots + f_n\psi_n$$

is an isomorphism of sheaves. Here, υ denotes the projection $X' \to Y$.

Proof. First we prove surjectivity. Because $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n$ generates $\mathcal{S}'$, it suffices to show that the image of Φ is a $\upsilon_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}$-module. Due to the Generalised Weierstraß division theorem [G-P-R, Chapter 1, Theorem 1.19], the following map is an isomorphism of sheaves:

$$(\mathcal{O}_Y)^n \to \upsilon_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}, \quad (f_1, \ldots, f_n) \mapsto f_1 + f_2\,\mu + \ldots + f_n\,\mu^{n-1}. \tag{12}$$

So it suffices to show that the multiplication with μ, and therefore also with powers of μ, acts on the image of Φ. Due to $A\psi = \mu\psi$, multiplication with μ acts as matrix multiplication with A on the column vector ψ. The entries of A are holomorphic on Y, so the image of Φ is a $\upsilon_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}$-module.

Now we show injectivity. We first consider Φ at $y \in Y_0$. Then, $A(y)$ has n pairwise distinct eigenvalues and n linearly independent eigenvectors. So the determinant of the eigenvectors is non-zero in a neighborhood of y and Φ is injective on the stalk over y.

It follows that Φ can be non-injective only on the stalks over the discrete set $Y \setminus Y_0$. On the other hand, every element in the kernel of Φ is a germ of holomorphic functions, so the set of stalks on which Φ is non-injective is open and therefore empty. **q.e.d.**

Let $\mathcal{O}(A)$ be the sheaf of holomorphic $(n \times n)$-matrices on Y which commute with A. Let $U \subset Y$ be open and $B \in H^0(U, \mathcal{O}(A)_U)$. Since B commutes with $A|_U$, we can diagonalize $A|_U$ and B simultaneously. Consequently, the eigenvalues of $B|_{U \cap Y_0}$ define holomorphic functions on $\upsilon^{-1}[U \cap Y_0]$. These functions are locally bounded near the points of $U \cap (Y \setminus Y_0)$, and therefore extend to holomorphic functions on $\pi^{-1}[\upsilon^{-1}[U]] \subset X$ by the Riemann Extension Theorem. This defines the natural homomorphism of rings

$$\mathcal{O}(A) \to \upsilon_* \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'} \,. \tag{13}$$

Corollary 4.6. *The image of* (13) *is* $\upsilon_*(\pi_{X(\mathcal{S}')})_* \mathcal{O}_{X(\mathcal{S}')}$.

In particular, the eigenvalues of an element of $\mathcal{O}(A)$ define holomorphic functions on the middleding of $\mathcal{S}'$ and vice versa, the holomorphic functions on the middleding are eigenvalues of elements of $\mathcal{O}(A)$.

Proof. Matrix multiplication with an element of $\mathcal{O}(A)$ acts on ψ like multiplication with the corresponding image in (13). This shows that not only μ and $\upsilon_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}$, but the whole image of (13) acts on $\upsilon_* \mathcal{S}'$. So the image of (13) is contained in $\upsilon_*(\pi_{X(\mathcal{S}')})_* \mathcal{O}_{X(\mathcal{S}')}$.

Conversely, for $\nu \in \upsilon_*(\pi_{X(\mathcal{S}')})_* \mathcal{O}_{X(\mathcal{S}')}$, the entries of $\nu \cdot \psi$ are due to Proposition 4.5 linear combinations of $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_Y$. All these coefficients together define a holomorphic $(n \times n)$-matrix on Y. Because multiplication with ν commutes with multiplication with μ, this matrix commutes with A and belongs to $\mathcal{O}(A)$. It is mapped to μ by (13). This shows that the image of (13) contains $\upsilon_*(\pi_{X(\mathcal{S}')})_* \mathcal{O}_{X(\mathcal{S}')}$. **q.e.d.**

Next, we give an example of a generalised divisor such that the corresponding generalised divisor on its middleding is not locally free. Hitchin defines in [Hi, Section 5] the spectral data of a harmonic map from a 2-dimensional torus to $\mathbb{S}^3$. They are defined in terms of the holonomy representation of a family of flat connections. The harmonic map gives rise to such a family depending holomorphically on $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

The corresponding holonomy is a representation of the abelian fundamental group of the torus with values in the 2×2-matrices depending holomorphically on $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Hitchin defines the spectral curve as the unique singular curve such that the eigenspace bundle [Hi, Section 7] is locally free. For simplicity, we do not discuss the remarkable result [Hi, Proposition 3.9] that this spectral curve can be compactified by adding two smooth points at $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = \infty$. Note that locally free generalised divisors are invertible sheaves, and a generalised divisor is locally free if it is the inverse of an invertible sheaf. Hence, this spectral curve is the middleding of the holomorphic matrix given by one of the commuting holonomies, see Lemma 4.3. Moreover, since all holonomies commute, they define the same sheaf of commuting holomorphic matrices and therefore the same middleding due to Corollary 4.6. Since the spectral curves of all these holonomies are hyperelliptic, Theorem 4.4 yields that the corresponding generalised divisors are locally free on the middleding. Therefore, the spectral data correspondence of Hitchin associates to a harmonic map the pair $(X(\mathcal{S}'), \mathcal{S}'')$ which is constructed from one of the commuting holonomies. Here, $\mathcal{S}''$ is the corresponding locally free generalised divisor on the middleding $X(\mathcal{S}')$ in Lemma 4.1. We remark that not only the middleding, but also $\mathcal{S}''$ does not depend on the choice of the holonomy out of the family of commuting holonomies since the eigenfunction ψ diagonalizes all these commuting holonomies simultaneously.

The following example shows that for integrable systems whose spectral curves are not hyperelliptic, such pairs of spectral curves with locally free divisors do not exist in general. However, since the statement of Theorem 4.4 is local, for all solutions of an integrable system with a holomorphic matrix (holonomy) whose eigenvalue curve has only simple branch points connecting only two sheets, the corresponding generalised divisor is locally free on the middleding. This should be the generic situation and such pairs $(X(\mathcal{S}'), \mathcal{S}'')$ with locally free $\mathcal{S}''$ will exist in the generic case. In particular, a holomorphic matrix whose generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}''$ is not locally free on the middleding $X(\mathcal{S}')$ is at least a 3×3-matrix. Furthermore, the eigenvalue curve of such a matrix has a singular point which is a branch point connecting at least three sheets. Now, it is not difficult to give an example.

Example 4.7. [Sch, Example 9.3] *Consider for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} = Y$ the holomorphic matrix*

$$A(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

with fixed $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. *The corresponding eigenvalue curve as in Equation* (11) *is given by*

$$X' = \left\{ (\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \,\middle|\, (\mu - \lambda)\mu(\mu + \lambda) = 0 \right\} .$$

The normalisation of X' *is*

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \{ (\lambda, \mu) \mid \mu = \lambda \} \,\dot\cup\, \{ (\lambda, \mu) \mid \mu = 0 \} \,\dot\cup\, \{ (\lambda, \mu) \mid \mu = -\lambda \} \\ &\cong \mathbb{C} \,\dot\cup\, \mathbb{C} \,\dot\cup\, \mathbb{C} . \end{aligned}$$

The three copies of $\mathbb{C}$ *intersect in* X' *in the triple point* q *with* $(\lambda, \mu) = (0, 0)$ *which is the only singularity of* X'. *Therefore,* $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q} \cong (\mathbb{C}\{\lambda\})^3$. *Then,* $\mathcal{O}_{X',q}$ *is the image of the algebra homomorphism* $\mathbb{C}\{\lambda, \mu\} \to (\mathbb{C}\{\lambda\})^3$ *with* $1 \mapsto (1, 1, 1)$, $\lambda \mapsto (\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)$ *and* $\mu \mapsto (\lambda, 0, -\lambda)$. *We have* $\lambda^2 \mapsto (\lambda^2, \lambda^2, \lambda^2)$, $\lambda\mu \mapsto (\lambda^2, 0, -\lambda^2)$ *and* $\mu^2 \mapsto (\lambda^2, 0, \lambda^2)$, *and thus all monomials of degree* 2 *in* $(\mathbb{C}\{\lambda\})^3$ *belong to the image. Moreover, by multiplication with powers of* λ, *all monomials of higher degree are obtained in the image. Hence, the cokernel in* $(\mathbb{C}\{\lambda\})^3$ *of this homomorphism is spanned by* $(1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 0)$ *and* $(0, \lambda, 0)$ *and* $\delta_q = 3$.

For the construction of the eigenline bundle, we choose $\ell(\psi) = \psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3$. *We will see that this choice of* ℓ *is admissible, unlike the simpler choices* $\ell(\psi) = \psi_k$ *for* $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. *Then,* ψ *is the solution of the following linear system of equations:*

$$\lambda\psi_1 + a\psi_3 = \mu\psi_1 \tag{14}$$

$$b\psi_3 = \mu\psi_2 \tag{15}$$

$$-\lambda\psi_3 = \mu\psi_3 \tag{16}$$

$$\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 = 1 . \tag{17}$$

We now calculate the solution ψ *individually on the three components of the normalisation* X *:*
For $\mu = \lambda$, *one gets from* (14) *that* $a\psi_3 = 0$ *and* $\psi_3 = 0$ *for* $a \neq 0$. *So* (15) *implies* $\mu\psi_2 = 0$ *and thus* $\psi_2 = 0$. *By* (17), *we obtain* $\psi_1 = 1$, *so* $\psi = (1, 0, 0)^t$. *This excludes the linear forms* $\ell(\psi) = \psi_k$ *for* $k \in \{2, 3\}$ *from being admissible.*
For $\mu = 0$, (16) *gives* $-\lambda\psi_3 = 0$ *and hence* $\psi_3 = 0$. *Inserting this into* (14) *gives* $\psi_1 = 0$ *and* (17) *yields* $\psi_2 = 1$. *So* $\psi = (0, 1, 0)^t$ *which excludes the linear forms* $\ell(\psi) = \psi_k$ *for* $k \in \{1, 3\}$ *from being admissible.*
For $\mu = -\lambda$, (14) *reads as* $2\lambda\psi_1 = -a\psi_3$ *and* (15) *reads as* $b\psi_3 = -\lambda\psi_2$, *hence*

$$\psi_1 = -\frac{a}{2\lambda}\psi_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2 = -\frac{b}{\lambda}\psi_3.$$

Then (17) *yields* $\psi_3\left(-\frac{a}{2\lambda}-\frac{b}{\lambda}+1\right)=1$ *and therefore*

$$\psi=\left(-\frac{a}{2\lambda},-\frac{b}{\lambda},1\right)^t\left(-\frac{a}{2\lambda}-\frac{b}{\lambda}+1\right)^{-1}=\frac{(-a,-2b,2\lambda)^t}{2\lambda-a-2b}.$$

Hence, ψ *has a pole at* $\lambda=\frac{a+2b}{2}$ *which is for* $a\neq -2b$ *a point in the regular set of* X'*.*

So we have determined the generators ψ_1,ψ_2,ψ_3 *of the generalised divisor* $\mathcal{S}'$ *associated to the holomorphic matrix* A*. Our next objective is to show that the corresponding generalised divisor on the middleding is not locally free. For this purpose, we extend the isomorphism* (12) *to*

$$(\mathcal{M}_Y)^n\to \upsilon_*\mathcal{M}_{X'},\qquad (f_1,\ldots,f_n)\mapsto f_1+f_2\,\mu+\ldots+f_n\,\mu^{n-1}\ .$$

Since the product of any meromorphic function on X' *with the pull-back of an appropriate function on* Y *becomes locally holomorphic, the arguments in the proof of Proposition 4.5 extend to the meromorphic functions and show surjectivity and injectivity of this sheaf homomorphism. Thus, due to Corollary 4.6, in our example* $\lambda_*(\pi_{X(\mathcal{S}')})_*\mathcal{O}_{X(\mathcal{S}')}$ *is equal to*

$$\{f_1+f_2\mu+f_3\mu^2\mid (f_1,f_2,f_3)\in\mathcal{M}^3_{\mathbb{C}}\text{ with }f_1\mathbb{1}+f_2A+f_3A^2\in\mathcal{O}^{n\times n}_{\mathbb{C}}\}.$$

To determine such $(f_1,f_2,f_3)\in\mathcal{M}^3_{\mathbb{C},0}$*, first insert*

$$f_1\mathbb{1}+f_2A+f_3A^2=\begin{pmatrix}f_1+f_2\lambda+f_3\lambda^2 & 0 & f_2a\\ 0 & f_1 & f_2b-f_3b\lambda\\ 0 & 0 & f_1-f_2\lambda+f_3\lambda^2\end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies for $a\neq 0$ *that* af_2 *and* f_2 *are holomorphic. If in addition* $b\neq 0$*, then* $b\lambda f_3$ *and* λf_3 *are holomorphic. Considering the middle entry in the matrices of the above equation implies that also* f_1 *is holomorphic. Hence, the stalk of* $(\pi_{X(\mathcal{S}')})_*\mathcal{O}_{X(\mathcal{S}')}$ *at* q *is the image of*

$$\mathbb{C}\left\{\lambda,\mu,\mu^2\lambda^{-1}\right\}\to\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}.$$

For any generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}'$ *whose stalk at* q *is contained in* $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}$*, the evaluation at the three points in* $\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]$ *defines a linear map* $\mathcal{S}'_q\to\mathbb{C}^3$*. Since all generators of* $\mathbb{C}\{\lambda,\mu,\mu^2\lambda^{-1}\}$ *vanish at* q*, the image of this map is at most one-dimensional if the divisor is locally free with respect to* $\mathbb{C}\{\lambda,\mu,\mu^2\lambda^{-1}\}$*. For* $a\neq 0$*,* $b\neq 0$ *and* $a+2b\neq 0$*, the values*

$$\psi=\begin{cases}(1,0,0)^t & \text{at }(\lambda,\mu)=(0,0)\text{ in }\mu=\lambda\\ (0,1,0)^t & \text{at }(\lambda,\mu)=(0,0)\text{ in }\mu=0\\ (\frac{-a}{-a-2b},\frac{-2b}{-a-2b},0)^t & \text{at }(\lambda,\mu)=(0,0)\text{ in }\mu=-\lambda\end{cases}$$

span a 2-dimensional space. We are not considering $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ here. In these cases, one can determine the corresponding sheaf $\mathcal{O}(A)$ by the same methods, but the ring is larger and the calculations are more involved. For $a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$ and $a + 2b \neq 0$, the corresponding generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}''$ on the middleding $X(\mathcal{S}')$ is not locally free.

This example might be considered somewhat pathological since the normalisation has three connected components. But all arguments only depend on the local behaviour of A in a neighborhood of the triple point. More precisely, all arguments carry over to triple points where the holomorphic matrix in the neighborhood of this point differs from A by a matrix with a second order root.

5. Riemann Roch

From now on, we suppose that X' is compact, or equivalently that X is compact, unless specified otherwise. Let $g = \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) < \infty$ be the genus of X which is called the geometric genus of X' and define the δ-invariant of X' as

$$\delta := \sum_{q \in S'} \delta_q \ .$$

Since S' is discrete, one has $\delta < \infty$.

Lemma 5.1.

(a) $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \dim H^0(X', \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'})$,
$\dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \dim H^1(X', \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'})$.

(b) $\dim H^1(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}) = g + \delta < \infty$. *This number is called arithmetic genus of X' and is denoted as g'.*

Proof. (a) Since $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'} = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X$, we have $H^0(U', \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}) = H^0(\pi^1[U'], \mathcal{O}_X)$ for every open subset $U' \subset X'$. By definition of the Čech complex, this implies $H^q(\mathcal{U}', \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}) = H^q(\pi^{-1}[\mathcal{U}'], \mathcal{O}_X)$ for every open covering $\mathcal{U}'$ of X' and $q \in \{0, 1\}$. Here, $\pi^{-1}[\mathcal{U}']$ denotes the covering $X = \bigcup_{U' \in \mathcal{U}'} \pi^{-1}[U']$. Let all $U' \in \mathcal{U}'$ be non-compact. Then, all $\pi^{-1}[U'] \in \pi^{-1}[\mathcal{U}']$ are also non-compact and thus, $\pi^{-1}[\mathcal{U}']$ is a Leray covering, see [Fo, Theorem 12.8 and Theorem 26.1]. Hence, we have $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^q(\pi^{-1}[\mathcal{U}'], \mathcal{O}_X)$. Because $\mathcal{U}' \mapsto \pi^{-1}[\mathcal{U}']$ embeds the ordered set of coverings of X' into the ordered set of coverings of X, the inductive limit of $H^q(\mathcal{U}', \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'})$ is a sublimit of the inductive limit of $H^q(\pi^{-1}[\mathcal{U}'], \mathcal{O}_X)$. So the latter inductive limit is equal to $H^q(\pi^{-1}[\mathcal{U}'], \mathcal{O}_X)$ for any Leray covering and therefore also the former inductive limit.

(b) The sequence of sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'} \longrightarrow \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'} \longrightarrow \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}/\mathcal{O}_{X'} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. So we have the alternating sum of dimensions

$$\begin{aligned}\dim H^1(X',\mathcal{O}_{X'}) = \dim H^0(X',\mathcal{O}_{X'}) - \\ - \dim H^0(X',\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}) + \dim H^0(X',\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}/\mathcal{O}_{X'}) + \\ + \dim H^1(X',\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}) - \dim H^1(X',\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}/\mathcal{O}_{X'})\ . \quad (18)\end{aligned}$$

Due to (a), $\dim H^0(X',\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}) = 1$ and $\dim H^1(X',\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}) = g$, and Proposition 2.1(a) yields that $\dim H^0(X',\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}/\mathcal{O}_{X'}) = \delta$. The support of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}/\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ is discrete, see Proposition 3.3, so $H^1(X',\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}/\mathcal{O}_{X'}) = 0$.

$\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ is a subsheaf of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}$ containing the constant functions, so we have $\dim H^0(X',\mathcal{O}_{X'}) = \dim H^0(X',\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}) = \dim H^0(X,\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$. Inserting all this into (18) gives the assertion. **q.e.d.**

The Riemann Roch theorem now follows easily from the definition of the degree of a generalised divisor.

Theorem 5.2. *For every generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}$ on X' one has*

$$\dim H^0(X',\mathcal{S}) - \dim H^1(X',\mathcal{S}) = \deg \mathcal{S} + 1 - g'\ .$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}'$ be a generalised divisor with $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}'$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X'} \subset \mathcal{S}'$. Then the sequences

$$0 \to \mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}' \to \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{S} \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{X'} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}' \to \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{O}_{X'} \to 0$$

are exact. Due to Proposition 3.3, $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{S}') \cup \mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{O}_{X'}) = \mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{S}')$ are discrete. So one has $H^1(X',\mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{S}) = 0$ and $H^1(X',\mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{O}_{X'}) = 0$, and the long exact sequences are given by

$$\begin{aligned}0 \to H^0(X',\mathcal{S}) \to H^0(X',\mathcal{S}') \to H^0(X',\mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{S}) \to \\ \to H^1(X',\mathcal{S}) \to H^1(X',\mathcal{S}') \to 0\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}0 \to H^0(X',\mathcal{O}_{X'}) \to H^0(X',\mathcal{S}') \to H^0(X',\mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{O}_{X'}) \to \\ \to H^1(X',\mathcal{O}_{X'}) \to H^1(X',\mathcal{S}') \to 0\ .\end{aligned}$$

So the alternating sums of dimensions of spaces in exact sequences yield

$$\begin{aligned}\dim H^0(X',\mathcal{S}) - \dim H^0(X',\mathcal{S}') + \overbrace{\dim H^0(X',\mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{S})}^{=\deg(\mathcal{S}')-\deg(\mathcal{S})} \\ - \dim H^1(X',\mathcal{S}) + \dim H^1(X',\mathcal{S}') = 0 \quad (19)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\overbrace{\dim H^0(X',\mathcal{O}_{X'})}^{=1} - \dim H^0(X',\mathcal{S}') + \overbrace{\dim H^0(X',\mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{O}_{X'})}^{=\deg(\mathcal{S}')} - \underbrace{\dim H^1(X',\mathcal{O}_{X'})}_{=g'} + \dim H^1(X',\mathcal{S}') = 0\ . \quad (20)$$

Subtracting (20) from (19) yields the assertion. **q.e.d.**

A simple consequence of the Riemann-Roch theorem is the existence of global meromorphic functions on X'.

Corollary 5.3. *For any generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}'$ on X' with $\deg \mathcal{S}' \geq g$, we have $\dim H^0(X',\mathcal{S}') \geq 1$. In particular, for every generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}'$, there exists a generalised divisor $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}' \supset \mathcal{S}'$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}'/\mathcal{S}') \subset X' \setminus S'$ and $\dim H^0(X',\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}') \geq 1$.* **q.e.d.**

The elements of $H^0(X',\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}')$ are meromorphic sections of $\mathcal{S}'$ because they are holomorphic with the exception of finitely many smooth poles.

6. Regular differential forms and Serre duality

Next, we define regular differential forms on X'. This definition also makes sense if X' is non-compact.

Definition 6.1. *A meromorphic differential form ω on X' is* regular at $q \in X'$ *if*

$$\sum_{p\in\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]} \operatorname{Res}_p\left(\pi^*(f\cdot\omega)\right) = 0 \quad \textit{for all } f \in \mathcal{O}_{X',q}\ , \qquad (21)$$

where the residue Res_p is defined as in [Fo, §9.9]. *We say that ω is* regular *if ω is regular at every $q \in X'$. $\Omega_{X'}$ is the* sheaf of regular differential forms *on X'.*

We denote the *sheaf of holomorphic differential forms* on X by Ω_X. The stalk at $q \in X'$ of the direct image $\pi_*\Omega_X$ is defined by

$$(\pi_*\Omega_X)_q = \bigoplus_{p\in\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]} \Omega_{X,p}\ .$$

Denote the sheaf of meromorphic 1-forms on X' by $d\mathcal{M}_{X'}$. Similarly as for meromorphic functions, the sheaf $d\mathcal{M}_{X'}$ can be identified with the direct image sheaf $\pi_* d\mathcal{M}_X$. For germs $\omega \in (\pi_*\Omega_X)_q$ (21) holds for all

$f \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}$, so $\pi_*\Omega_X$ is a subsheaf of $\Omega_{X'}$. Therefore, a *pairing* between $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}/\mathcal{O}_{X',q}$ and $\Omega_{X',q}/(\pi_*\Omega_X)_q$ is defined by

$$(f,\omega) \mapsto \sum_{p\in\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]} \mathrm{Res}_p\left(\pi^*(f\cdot\omega)\right). \tag{22}$$

Lemma 6.2. *The pairing in* (22) *is non-degenerate.*

Proof. Let $f \in (\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}/\mathcal{O}_{X',q}) \setminus \{0\}$. Because this quotient is finite-dimensional, there exists a linear form on $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}$ which vanishes on $\mathcal{O}_{X',q}$ but is non-zero at f. The pairing between $\mathcal{M}_{X',q}$ and the stalk of meromorphic differential forms on X' at q is non-degenerate. Therefore, this linear form can be represented by the pairing of f with some ω from this stalk. Consequently, the pairing of $\mathcal{O}_{X',q}$ with ω vanishes. So $\omega \in \Omega_{X',q}$, and the pairing (22) of f with ω is non-zero.

Conversely, let $\omega \in \left(\Omega_{X',q}/(\pi_*\Omega_X)_q\right) \setminus \{0\}$ be given. Then, ω has a pole at some $p \in \pi^{-1}[\{q\}]$. Due to Equation (1), the pairing (22) of ω with some $f \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}$ is non-zero. **q.e.d.**

It follows from this lemma that also $\dim(\Omega_{X',q}/(\pi_*\Omega_X)_q\big) = \delta_q$ holds. Because Ω_X is coherent on X, $\pi_*\Omega_X$ is coherent on X', and therefore also $\Omega_{X'}$.

Every global meromorphic function f on X' which does not vanish identically on a connected component of X' has an inverse meromorphic function. For such an f, the map $g \mapsto g\cdot df$ is an isomorphism from the sheaf of meromorphic functions onto the sheaf of meromorphic 1-forms. In this sense, we can interpret finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$-submodules of the sheaf of meromorphic 1-forms as generalised divisors on X'. More precisely, the map $g\cdot df \mapsto g$ identifies finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$-submodules of $d\mathcal{M}_{X'}$ with generalised divisors on X' and the isomorphism classes of the generalised divisors do not depend on the choice of df. The degree of such a submodule is defined as the degree of the corresponding generalised divisor.

Theorem 6.3. *For every generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}'$ on X',*

$$\Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}') := \{\omega \in d\mathcal{M}_{X'} \mid f\cdot\omega \in \Omega_{X'} \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{S}'\}$$

is a generalised divisor on X', i.e. $\Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$-submodule of $d\mathcal{M}_{X'}$.

Proof. Let $q \in X'$, $\omega \in \Omega_{X',q}(\mathcal{S}')$, $g \in \mathcal{O}_{X',q}$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}'_q$. Then $f\cdot g \in \mathcal{S}'_q$ and hence, $f\cdot g\cdot\omega \in \Omega_{X',q}$. Since this holds for all $f \in \mathcal{S}'_q$, we have $g\cdot\omega \in \Omega_{X',q}(\mathcal{S}')$. This shows that $\Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$-submodule of $d\mathcal{M}_{X'}$. In order to prove that it is finitely generated, it suffices to show that $\Omega_{X',q}(\mathcal{S}')$ is a finitely generated $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}$-module because

$\delta_q < \infty$. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ generate $\mathcal{S}'_q$, and for $p \in \pi^{-1}[\{q\}]$, let $\mathcal{S}_p$ be the $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$-submodule of $\mathcal{M}_{X,p}$ generated by $\pi^* f_1, \ldots, \pi^* f_n$. Since on X, all generalised divisors are locally free, in particular invertible, the following submodule of $\Omega_{X',q}(\mathcal{S}')$ is finitely generated as an $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}$-module and therefore also as an $\mathcal{O}_{X',q}$-module:

$$(\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S}))_q = \{\omega \in d\mathcal{M}_{X',q} \mid f \cdot \omega \in (\pi_*\Omega_X)_q \text{ for all } f \in (\pi_*\mathcal{S})_q\} .$$

It suffices to show now that $\Omega_{X',q}(\mathcal{S}')/(\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S}))_q$ has finite dimension. By definition of $(\pi_*\mathcal{S})_q$, we have $\dim((\pi_*\mathcal{S})_q/\mathcal{S}'_q) < \infty$. By Lemma 6.2, $\dim(\Omega_{X',q}/(\pi_*\Omega_X)_q) = \delta_q < \infty$. This implies the claim. **q.e.d.**

Theorem 6.4 (Serre duality)**.** *For every generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}'$, the vector space $H^0(X', \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}'))$ is canonically isomorphic to the dual of $H^1(X', \mathcal{S}')$ and $H^1(X', \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}'))$ to the dual of $H^0(X', \mathcal{S}')$.*

Proof. We transfer the proof of Serre duality for Riemann surfaces in [Fo, §17] and use that it holds on X. We will be able to localize the additional ingredients at the singularities. As in the proof of Theorem 6.3, let $\mathcal{S}$ be the divisor on X which is locally generated by the pullbacks $\pi^* f_i$ of local generators f_i of $\mathcal{S}'$. Moreover, $\Omega_X(\mathcal{S})$ denotes the sheaf of meromorphic forms on X whose products with elements of $\mathcal{S}$ are holomorphic. Due to Serre duality on X, the vector space $H^0(X, \Omega_X(\mathcal{S}))$ is canonically isomorphic to the dual of $H^1(X, \mathcal{S})$. The arguments of the proof of Lemma 5.1(a) show in the present situation

$$H^0(X, \Omega_X(\mathcal{S})) \cong H^0(X', \pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S})) \text{ and } H^1(X, \mathcal{S}) \cong H^1(X', \pi_*\mathcal{S}). \quad (23)$$

To proceed, we consider the following exact sequences of sheaves on X':

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}' \longrightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{S}' \longrightarrow 0,$$
$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}') \longrightarrow \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')/\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The supports of the sheaves $\pi_*\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{S}'$ and $\Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')/\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S})$ are contained in S' and therefore discrete. This implies

$$H^1(X', \pi_*\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{S}') = 0 = H^1(X', \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')/\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S})).$$

The corresponding long exact sequences read as

$$0 \to H^0(X', \mathcal{S}') \hookrightarrow H^0(X', \pi_*\mathcal{S}) \to H^0(X', \pi_*\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{S}') \to \\ \to H^1(X', \mathcal{S}') \twoheadrightarrow H^1(X', \pi_*\mathcal{S}) \to 0,$$

$$0 \to H^0(X', \pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S})) \hookrightarrow H^0(X', \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')) \to H^0(X', \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')/\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S})) \to \\ \to H^1(X', \pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S})) \twoheadrightarrow H^1(X', \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')) \to 0.$$

By dualizing the second exact sequence, we obtain the horizontal sequences of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 \to & H^0(X',\mathcal{S}') & \hookrightarrow & H^0(X',\pi_*\mathcal{S}) & \to & H^0(X',\pi_*\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{S}') & \to \\
\downarrow & \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow f_1 & & \downarrow f_2 & \\
0 \to & H^1(X',\Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}'))^* & \to & H^1(X',\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S}))^* & \to & H^0(X',\Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')/\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S}))^* & \to
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\to & H^1(X',\mathcal{S}') & \twoheadrightarrow & H^1(X',\pi_*\mathcal{S}) & \to 0 \\
& \downarrow f_3 & & \downarrow f_4 & \downarrow \\
\to & H^0(X',\Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}'))^* & \twoheadrightarrow & H^0(X',\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S}))^* & \to 0
\end{array} \quad (24)$$

We now supplement the vertical morphisms $f_0,\dots,f_4$ such that the whole diagram is commutative and f_1, f_2 and f_4 are isomorphisms. Then f_0 and f_3 also are isomorphisms due to the 5-Lemma, see [Br, Lemma IV.5.10]. Due to Serre duality on X and (23), there exist canonical isomorphisms f_1 and f_4. It remains to define f_0, f_2 and f_3 such that the diagram is commutative and to prove that f_2 is an isomorphism. To define f_0, f_2 and f_3, we choose an open covering $\mathcal{U}$ of X' whose pullback to X is a Leray covering.

The map f_2 is defined in terms of the pairings

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi_*(\mathcal{S}))_q/\mathcal{S}'_q \times \Omega_{X',q}(\mathcal{S}')/(\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S}))_q &\to \mathbb{C}\\ (f,\omega) &\mapsto \sum_{p\in\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]} \mathrm{Res}_p(\pi^*(f\cdot\omega)).\end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

By definition of $\Omega_{X'}$, we have

$$\Omega_{X',q}(\mathcal{S}') = \left\{ \omega \in d\mathcal{M}_{X',q} \;\middle|\; \forall\, f \in \mathcal{S}'_q : \sum_{p\in\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]} \mathrm{Res}_p(\pi^*(f\cdot\omega)) = 0 \right\}.$$

One also has

$$(\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S}))_q = \left\{ \omega \in d\mathcal{M}_{X',q} \;\middle|\; \forall f \in (\pi_*\mathcal{S})_q : \sum_{p\in\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]} \mathrm{Res}_p(\pi^*(f\cdot\omega)) = 0 \right\}.$$

Hence, the above pairing is well-defined on the quotient spaces.

The vector spaces $H^0(X',\pi_*\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{S}')$ and $H^0(X',\Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')/\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S}))$ are the direct sums over $q\in S'$ of the corresponding stalks. Therefore, these pairings extend to a pairing between these vector spaces where elements with disjoint support are orthogonal. Furthermore, the map f_2 induced by these pairings is an isomorphism if the pairings in (25) are non-degenerate for all $q\in S'$. The proof of the non-degeneracy of the pairing is similar to the proof of Lemma 6.2. The dimension of $(\pi_*\mathcal{S})_q/\mathcal{S}'_q$ is at most δ_q times the number of generators of $\mathcal{S}'_q$ and

hence finite. Similarly, $\dim(\Omega_{X',q}(\mathcal{S}')/(\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S}))_q) < \infty$. The non-degeneracy in both entries of the pairing now follows as in the first part of the proof of Lemma 6.2.

We define f_3 in terms of a pairing between $H^0(X', \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}'))$ and $H^1(X', \mathcal{S}')$. Due to [Fo, Corollary 17.17], $H^1(X, \mathcal{M}) = 0$. We choose a covering $\mathcal{U}'$ of X' by non-compact open sets. As before, $H^1(\mathcal{U}', \mathcal{M}_{X'}) = 0$ and therefore $H^1(X', \mathcal{M}_{X'}) = 0$. Let $\nu \in H^1(X', \mathcal{S}')$. There exists $\mu \in C^0(\mathcal{U}', \mathcal{M}_{X'})$ with $\delta\mu = \nu$. We define the pairing between ν and $\omega \in H^0(X', \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}'))$ as $(\nu, \omega) \mapsto \sum_{p\in X} \operatorname{Res}_p(\pi^*(\mu \cdot \omega))$. We have to show that the right hand side only depends on $\nu \in H^1(X', \mathcal{S}')$, i.e. that it does not change if we add to μ an element of $Z^0(\mathcal{U}', \mathcal{M}_{X'}) = H^0(X', \mathcal{M})$. This follows from the Residue Theorem [Fo, Theorem 10.21]. So f_3 is defined. We define f_0 analogously to f_3.

It remains to prove the commutativity of the diagram (24).

Obviously, it commutes at the left of f_0, and at the right of f_4. To show commutativity between f_3 and f_4, let $\nu \in H^1(X', \mathcal{S}')$ and $\mu \in C^0(\mathcal{U}', \mathcal{M}_{X'})$ as in the definition of f_3. Then the image of ν in $H^1(X', \mathcal{S}') \to H^1(X', \pi_*\mathcal{S})$ is also the coboundary of μ in $B^1(\mathcal{U}', \mathcal{M}_{X'})$. Now, the pairing between this image of ν and $\omega \in H^0(X', \pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S}))$ equals the pairing between ν and the image of ω in $H^0(X', \pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S})) \hookrightarrow H^0(X', \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}'))$. This implies commutativity between f_3 and f_4. Analogously one shows the commutativity between f_0 and f_1.

To show commutativity between f_1 and f_2, choose $g \in H^0(X', \pi_*\mathcal{S})$ and $\alpha \in \Omega_{X',q}(\mathcal{S}')/\big(\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S})\big)_q \subset H^0\big(X', \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')/\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S})\big)$ and consider the mappings

$$\varphi : H^0(X', \pi_*\mathcal{S}) \to H^0(X', \pi_*\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{S}')$$

and

$$\psi : H^0(X', \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')/\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S})) \to H^1(X', \pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S}))\ ,$$

where ψ is the connecting homomorphism as defined in [Fo, 15.11]. We have to show that the pairing between g and $\psi(\alpha)$ given by the classical Serre duality via (23) equals the pairing between $\varphi(g)$ and α. The latter pairing is equal to $\sum_{p\in\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]} \operatorname{Res}_p(\pi^*(\alpha \cdot g))$.

We track the construction of $\psi(\alpha)$ from [Fo, 15.11]. There exists a neighborhood U_q of q in X' with $U_q \cap S' = \{q\}$ together with $\beta \in H^0(U_q, \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}'))$ which is mapped to α under

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(U_q, \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')) &\to \Omega_{X',q}(\mathcal{S}') \to \\ &\to \Omega_{X',q}(\mathcal{S}')/(\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S}))_q \subset H^0(X', \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')/\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S})). \end{aligned}$$

We supplement U_q by the open set $X' \setminus \{q\}$ to obtain the open covering $\mathcal{U}'$ of X'. Together with 0 on $X' \setminus \{q\}$, β defines an element $\gamma \in$

$C^0(\mathcal{U}', \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}'))$. On $U_q \cap (X' \setminus \{q\}) = U_q \setminus \{q\}$, one has $\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S}) = \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')$ and hence $\delta\gamma \in C^1(\mathcal{U}', \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')) = C^1(\mathcal{U}', \pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S}))$. In fact, we have $\delta\gamma \in B^1(\mathcal{U}', \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}'))$ and hence, the coboundary of $\delta\gamma \in C^1(\mathcal{U}', \pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S}))$ also vanishes. Therefore, $\delta\gamma$ induces an element of $H^1(\mathcal{U}', \pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S})) = H^1(X', \pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S}))$, where the last equality follows because $\mathcal{U}'$ is Leray.

By definition of the connecting homomorphism, this element equals $\psi(\alpha)$. According to Serre duality on X (see [Fo, 17.5]), the pairing of $\psi(\alpha)$ with g is equal to

$$\sum_{p\in X} \mathrm{Res}_p\big(\pi^*(g\cdot\gamma)\big) = \sum_{p\in\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]} \mathrm{Res}_p\big(\pi^*(g\cdot\gamma)\big) = \sum_{p\in\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]} \mathrm{Res}_p\big(\pi^*(g\cdot\alpha)\big).$$

Here, the first equality follows because of $\Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}') = \pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S})$ on $U_q \setminus \{q\}$. The last term is the pairing between α and $\varphi(g)$.

The commutativity between f_2 and f_3 is shown analogously. **q.e.d.**

For $q \in X'$, let $n_q := \dim(\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q/\mathfrak{c}_q)$ with the annihilator $\mathfrak{c}_q$ (2) of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q/\mathcal{O}_q$. Clearly, n_q vanishes for $q \notin S'$. Similarly to δ_q, n_q measures the order of the singularity $q \in S'$. In the following proposition, we compare these two integers.

Proposition 6.5. *(a) The annihilator* $\mathrm{Ann}_q(\Omega_{X'}/\pi_*\Omega_X)$ *equals* $\mathfrak{c}_q$ (2) *for* $q \in X'$.

(b) For $q \in S'$, *one has* $\delta_q + 1 \le n_q \le 2\delta_q$, *and* $n_q = 2\delta_q$ *holds if and only if* $\Omega_{X',q}$ *is a free* $\mathcal{O}_q$*-module of rank* 1.

Proof. (a) Let $g \in \mathfrak{c}_q$. Then $g \cdot f \in \mathcal{O}_q$ for all $f \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_q$. This implies that the pairing (21) of gf with ω and therefore also the pairing of f with $g\omega$ vanishes for all $f \in \mathcal{O}_q$ and all $\omega \in \Omega_{X',q}$. Due to Lemma 6.2, $g \cdot \omega \in (\pi_*\Omega_X)_q$ for all $\omega \in \Omega_{X',q}$, so $g \in \mathrm{Ann}_q(\Omega_{X'}/\pi_*\Omega_X)$.

Conversely, for $g \in \mathrm{Ann}_q(\Omega_{X'}/\pi_*\Omega_X)$, it is $g \cdot \omega \in (\pi_*\Omega_X)_q$ for all $\omega \in \Omega_{X',q}$. Again, the pairing in (21) of f with $g\omega$ and of gf with ω vanishes for all $\omega \in \Omega_{X',q}$ and all $f \in \mathcal{O}_q$. Due to Lemma 6.2, $g \cdot f \in \mathcal{O}_q$ for all $f \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_q$ and hence $g \in \mathfrak{c}_q$.

(b) From $\mathbb{C} + \mathfrak{c}_q \subset \mathcal{O}_q$, see (4), we get $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_q/\mathcal{O}_q + \mathbb{C} \subset \bar{\mathcal{O}}_q/\mathfrak{c}_q$ and thus $1 + \delta_q \le n_q$. Since $\mathfrak{c}$ is a sheaf of ideals of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}$, it is an $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X'}$-module and hence the direct image with respect to π of a sheaf $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}$ of ideals of $\mathcal{O}_X$. Here, we use the fact that $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{X',q}$ separates the points in $\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]$. The sheaf $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}$ is a coherent subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X \subset \mathcal{M}_X$ and therefore a generalised divisor on X. Since X is smooth, there exists a divisor $D \ge 0$ on X with $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}} = \mathcal{O}_{-D}$. The support of D is contained in S and we write $D = \sum_{p\in S} n_p \cdot p$. In particular, $n_q = \sum_{p\in\pi^{-1}[\{q\}]} n_p$. Because of (a), there exists for every $p \in \pi^{-1}[\{q\}]$ a form $\omega_p \in \Omega_{X',q}$ such that $\pi^*\omega_p$

has a pole of order n_p at p. Otherwise, $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{-D} \subsetneq \mathfrak{c}$. Let ω be a suitable linear combination of the ω_p's such that $\pi^*\omega$ has a pole of order n_p at all $p \in S$. Due to (a), the map

$$\mathcal{O}_q/\mathfrak{c}_q \to \Omega_{X',q}/(\pi_*\Omega_X)_q \ , \qquad f \mapsto f \cdot \omega \tag{26}$$

is injective. The alternating sum of dimensions of the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_q/\mathfrak{c}_q \to \bar{\mathcal{O}}_q/\mathfrak{c}_q \to \bar{\mathcal{O}}_q/\mathcal{O}_q \to 0$$

vanishes and so $\dim(\mathcal{O}_q/\mathfrak{c}_q) = n_q - \delta_q \leq \delta_q$, i.e. $n_q \leq 2\delta_q$.

For $n_q = 2\delta_q$, the map (26) is an isomorphism. So every $\alpha \in \Omega_{X',q}$ can be represented as $\alpha = f \cdot \omega + \beta$ with $f \in \mathcal{O}_q, \beta \in (\pi_*\Omega_X)_q$. By definition of ω, β can be written as $g \cdot \omega$ with $g \in \mathfrak{c}_q \subset \mathcal{O}_q$. This implies that $\Omega_{X',q}$ has rank 1 and is a free $\mathcal{O}_q$-module.

Conversely, let $\Omega_{X',q}$ be a free $\mathcal{O}_q$-module. Then, there exists one generator of this module. The pullback of this generator via π has a pole of order n_p at all $p \in S$ and no other poles. Otherwise, $\mathrm{Ann}(\Omega_{X'}/\pi_*\Omega_X) \subsetneq \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{-D}$. Therefore, we can choose ω in (26) to be this generator and (26) is surjective. This implies $n_q = 2\delta_q$. **q.e.d.**

Corollary 6.6. *If X' is of arithmetic genus g' and $\mathcal{S}'$ a generalised divisor on X', then:*

(a) $\deg(\Omega_{X'}) = 2g' - 2$.
(b) $\deg(\Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')) = 2g' - 2 - \deg(\mathcal{S}')$.
(c) If $\deg(\mathcal{S}') > 2g' - 2$, *then* $H^1(X', \mathcal{S}')$ *is trivial.*

Proof. (a) For $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ we obtain by Serre duality (Theorem 6.4)

$$g' = \dim(H^1(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'})) = \dim(H^0(X', \Omega_{X'})) \ ,$$
$$1 = \dim(H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'})) = \dim(H^1(X', \Omega_{X'})) \ .$$

The Riemann Roch Theorem 5.2 now implies the claimed statement.

(b) We first note that $\deg(\Omega_X(\mathcal{S})) = \deg(\Omega_X) - \deg(\mathcal{S})$, see [Fo, §17], $\deg(\pi_*\mathcal{S}) = \deg(\mathcal{S}) + \delta$ and $\deg(\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S})) = \deg(\Omega_X(\mathcal{S})) + \delta$, see the left-hand side equation of (10). The non-degeneracy of the pairing in (25) implies

$$\deg(\Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')) - \deg(\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S})) = \deg(\pi_*\mathcal{S}) - \deg(\mathcal{S}')$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\deg(\Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')) &= \deg(\pi_*\Omega_X(\mathcal{S})) + \deg(\pi_*\mathcal{S}) - \deg(\mathcal{S}') \\
&= \deg(\Omega_X(\mathcal{S})) + \delta + \deg(\mathcal{S}) + \delta - \deg(\mathcal{S}') \\
&= \deg(\Omega_X) + 2\delta - \deg(\mathcal{S}') \\
&= 2g - 2 + 2\delta - \deg(\mathcal{S}') = 2g' - 2 - \deg(\mathcal{S}') \ .
\end{aligned}$$

(c) Because the global meromorphic functions on X' have degree 0, for every generalised divisor $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}'$ on X' of negative degree, $H^0(X', \tilde{\mathcal{S}}')$ is trivial. In particular, if $\deg(\mathcal{S}') > 2g' - 2$, then $\deg(\Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')) = \deg(\Omega_{X'}) - \deg(\mathcal{S}') < 0$ and therefore, $H^0(X', \Omega_{X'}(\mathcal{S}')) = 0$, whence $H^1(X', \mathcal{S}') = 0$ follows by Serre duality (Theorem 6.4). **q.e.d.**

7. The Krichever construction

As preparation for the construction of Baker-Akhiezer functions, we need a certain presentation of the elements of $H^1(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'})$ by Mittag-Leffler distributions with support at given marked points. This representation is known as the Krichever construction, see [Kr].

In the following, we denote by H the algebra of germs of functions that are holomorphic in a punctured neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. We define the subsets

$$H^+ = \{h \in H \mid h \text{ extends holomorphically to } 0\} = \mathbb{C}\{z\}$$
$$H^- = \{h \in H \mid h \text{ extends holomorphically to } \mathbb{P}^1\backslash\{0\} \text{ with } h(\infty) = 0\}$$
$$H^-_{\text{finite}} = \{h \in H^- \mid h \text{ has a pole at } 0\} = z^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{C}[z^{-1}].$$

There is a decomposition analogous to the Birkhoff factorization:

Lemma 7.1. $H = H^+ \oplus H^-$.

Proof. For any $h \in H$, let $h^+(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi\imath} \oint \frac{h(z')dz'}{z'-z}$. Here, the integral is taken along a path in the domain of definition of h around z and 0 in the anti-clockwise order. Moreover, let $h^-(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi\imath} \oint \frac{h(z')dz'}{z'-z}$. This time the integral is taken along a path in the domain of definition of h around 0, but not around z, in the clockwise order. Since the form $\frac{h(z')dz'}{z'-z}$ is closed, these integrals do not depend on the choice of the path of integration. Due to Cauchy's integral formula, we have $h = h^+ + h^-$. Moreover, h^+ is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of 0 and h^- is holomorphic on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and vanishes at ∞.

The intersection $H^+ \cap H^-$ contains holomorphic function on $\mathbb{P}^1$ which are constant. Since they vanish at ∞, they are identically zero. **q.e.d.**

Let X' be a compact singular curve with marked smooth points $q_1, \ldots, q_n \in X'$ and holomorphic charts $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ centered at those points, i.e. $z_i(q_i) = 0$.

For any $(h_1, \ldots, h_n) \in H^n$, one can choose disjoint smooth open neighborhoods $U_1, \ldots, U_n$ of $q_1, \ldots, q_n$ such that $z_i^* h_i$ is defined on $U_i\backslash\{q_i\}$. Together with $U_0 = X'\backslash\{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}$, we get a cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_n\}$ of X'. Since the only non-empty pairwise intersections are of the form $U_0 \cap U_i = U_i \setminus \{0\}$, $z_i^* h_i$ is holomorphic on these

intersections and defines an element of $C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_{X'})$. Because the intersection of every triple of distinct U_i is empty, we have $C^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_{X'}) = 0$ and hence, $(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ defines a cocycle and induces an element of $H^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_{X'})$. Since $\mathcal{U}$ is a Leray cover of X' for $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ as in the proof of Lemma 5.1, we obtain $H^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_{X'}) = H^1(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'})$ and the surjective map

$$\varphi : H^n \to H^1(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}) \,. \tag{27}$$

The Serre Duality theorem 6.4 states that

$$\big(\varphi(h_1, \dots, h_n) \,, \omega \big) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Res}_{q_i} z_i^* h_i \, \omega \tag{28}$$

defines a non-degenerate pairing between $\varphi(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in H^1(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'})$ and $\omega \in H^0(X', \Omega_{X'})$.

If $\varphi(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ is the coboundary of an element of $C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_{X'})$, then for all $\omega \in H^0(X', \Omega_{X'})$, the sum of the residues at $q_1, \dots, q_n$ of the product ωf with the corresponding holomorphic function $f : U_0 \to \mathbb{C}$ vanishes. Therefore, (28) indeed vanishes on all elements in the kernel of φ and defines a pairing between $H^0(X', \Omega_{X'})$ and $H^1(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'})$.

Each element $(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in (H^-_{\text{finite}})^n$ defines a Mittag-Leffler distribution on X'. A solution is a meromorphic function f on X' with the same principal parts at $q_1, \dots, q_n$, i.e. $f - z_i^* h_i$ is holomorphic on U_i, and f is holomorphic on U_0. The following lemma implies that the distribution $(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in (H^-_{\text{finite}})^n$ has a solution if and only if $\varphi(h_1, \dots, h_n) = 0$, i.e. if $\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{Res}_{q_i} z_i^* h_i \, \omega = 0$ for all $\omega \in H^0(X', \Omega_{X'})$.

Lemma 7.2. (i) *The kernel of φ is equal to the set of those elements $(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in H^n$ that admit a holomorphic function f on U_0 such that $f - z_i^* h_i$ is holomorphic at 0. In particular, $(H^+)^n$ is contained in the kernel of φ.*

(ii) *The restriction of φ to $(H^-_{\text{finite}})^n$ is surjective.*

Proof. (i) This follows from the fact that $(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in H^n$ is in the kernel of φ if and only if the cocycle defined by $z_i^* h_i$ is a coboundary.
(ii) We first conclude $\varphi((H^-)^n) = H^1(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'})$ from the inclusion $(H^+)^n \subset \ker \varphi$ and the surjectivity of φ. The space $H^0(X', \Omega_{X'})$ is finite-dimensional. Therefore, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that all non-trivial $\omega \in H^0(X', \Omega_{X'})$ vanish at any $q_1, \dots, q_n$ at most to order N. For every $\omega \in H^0(X', \Omega_{X'})$, the pairing of ω with $\varphi(h)$ for some $h \in (H^-_{\text{finite}})^n$ is non-zero by definition of (28). Thus, by the non-degeneracy of the pairing (28), the restriction of the map φ to $(H^-_{\text{finite}})^n$ is surjective. **q.e.d.**

From the long exact sequence of $0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{O}_{X'} \xrightarrow{e^{2\pi i \cdot}} \mathcal{O}_{X'}^* \to 1$, the exact sequence $0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^* \to 0$ can be split off and thereby, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(X', \mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}) \to H^1(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}^*) \to H^2(X', \mathbb{Z}) \to 0\,,$$

where the map $H^1(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}) \to H^1(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}^*)$ is induced by $\exp(2\pi i \cdot)$ and the connecting homomorphism $H^1(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}^*) \to H^2(X', \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is the degree map of invertible sheaves. We mention that $H^1(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}^*)$ is the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(X')$, i.e. the space of isomorphy classes of invertible sheaves. The map $H^1(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}) \to H^1(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}^*)$ can be considered as the exponential map of the Lie group $\mathrm{Pic}(X')$.

In particular, each $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_n) \in (H^-_{\text{finite}})^n$ defines a one-parameter group of cocycles $z_i^* \exp(2\pi i\, t\, h_i)$, where $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Since these cocycles are from the Čech cohomology, one has $[g_\ell] \in H^1(X', \mathcal{O}) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O})$. For given $t \in \mathbb{C}$, these cocycles map the holomorphic functions on U_0 to holomorphic functions on $U_i \setminus \{q_i\}$. Transferring the construction of line bundles on smooth Riemann surfaces by cocycles as in [Fo, Theorem 29.7] yields a holomorphic line bundle on X' whose local sections define a locally free rank 1 sheaf $\mathcal{L}_h(t)$ on X'.

To show that $\mathcal{L}_h(t)$ has a global meromorphic section, we also construct the corresponding line bundle on the normalisation X. The only non-empty intersections of two elements of $\mathcal{U}$ are $U_0 \cap U_i = U_i \setminus \{q_i\}$ and therefore smooth. Hence, they can be considered as subsets of X. Therefore, these cocycles together with the trivial line bundle on $\pi^{-1}[U_0]$ define a line bundle on X. This line bundle has a global meromorphic section, see [Fo, Theorem 29.16]. By our identification of meromorphic functions on X and on X', this section is also a global meromorphic section of $\mathcal{L}_h(t)$. By means of this section, we can identify $\mathcal{L}_h(t)$ with a generalised divisor which is locally free.

This family is an one-parameter group, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_h(t+t') = \mathcal{L}_h(t) \otimes \mathcal{L}_h(t')$ for $t, t' \in \mathbb{C}$, where $\otimes$ denotes the product in $\mathrm{Pic}(X')$. Because we have $\mathcal{L}_h(0) = \mathcal{O}_{X'} = \mathbb{1}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X')}$, $\mathcal{L}_h(t)$ stays in the unit component $\mathrm{Pic}_0(X')$ of $\mathrm{Pic}(X')$. $\mathrm{Pic}_0(X')$ is the group of isomorphy classes of degree 0 bundles. Conversely, every one-parameter group in $\mathrm{Pic}_0(X')$ is obtained that way because φ is surjective. This method for constructing linear flows on the Picard group is called *Krichever construction*.

Lemma 7.3. (i) *An element $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_n) \in (H^-_{finite})^n$ induces the trivial flow, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_h(t) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X')}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$ if and only if the corresponding Mittag-Leffler distribution is solvable.*

(ii) *An element $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_n) \in (H^-_{finite})^n$ induces a periodic flow with period $T > 0$, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_h(T) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X')}$ if and only if the*

Mittag-Leffler distribution can be solved by means of a multi-valued function k whose values over a point differ by an element of $T \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ (i.e. dk is an Abelian differential of the second kind with $\int_\gamma dk \in T \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\gamma \in H_1(X', \mathbb{Z})$).

Proof. (i) $\mathcal{L}_h(t)$ is trivial for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$ if and only if

$$\exp(2\pi i\, t\, \varphi(h)) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X')} \,.$$

By taking the derivative with respect to t at $t = 0$, we see that this is equivalent to $h \in \ker \varphi$. Lemma 7.2(i) implies that $h \in \ker \varphi$ if and only if the Mittag-Leffler distribution admits a solution.
(ii) Using the preceding lemma again, we see that $\mathcal{L}_h(T) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X')}$ is equivalent to the existence of functions $k_0, \ldots, k_n$ on $U_0, \ldots, U_n$, respectively, with $k_0/k_i = z_i^* \exp(2\pi i\, T\, h_i)$. The multi-valued meromorphic function $k = \frac{1}{2\pi i T} \ln k_0$ has the desired properties. **q.e.d.**

The Krichever construction is a key ingredient in the study of integrable systems and their relation to the theory of singular complex curves. Many integrable systems are determined by the following data: A compact singular curve X', which is called the *spectral curve*, with smooth marked points $q_1, \ldots, q_n$ and holomorphic charts $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ centered at those points and two elements h_1 and $h_2 \in (H^-_{\mathrm{finite}})^n$. We distinguish between the following three cases:

Case 1: Both flows induced by h_1 and h_2 are trivial.
Case 2: h_1 induces a trivial and h_2 a periodic flow.
Case 3: Both flows induced by h_1 and h_2 are periodic.

The Lax operators corresponding to the integrable systems of Case 1 are meromorphic matrices, see [A-vM-V], whereas the systems of Case 2 and Case 3 are infinite-dimensional. In Case 2, the Lax operators are ordinary differential operators and in Case 3, they are partial differential operators.

The periodic Korteweg de Vries equation belongs to Case 2. It is obtained for $n = 1$, $h_1 = 1/z^2$ and $h_2 = 1/z$. The corresponding Lax operator is the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator [La].

The periodic non-linear Schrödinger equation also belongs to Case 2. It is obtained for $n = 2$, $h_1 = (1/z, 1/z)$ and $h_2 = (i/z, -i/z)$. The corresponding Lax operator is the one-dimensional Dirac operator with potentials [Sch].

An example for a system of Case 3 is the system of the double periodic Kadomcev-Petviashvilli equation [F-K-T, Chapter 4]. Here, we have $n = 1$, $h_1 = 1/z$ and $h_2 = 2\pi i/z^2$. The Lax operator in this case is the $(1+1)$-dimensional heat operator, see Remark 8.9 (3).

8. Baker-Akhiezer Functions

There are two equivalent concepts to describe line bundles on complex curves: divisors and cocycles. Usually, only one of these is used. Baker-Akhiezer functions combine both concepts to describe sections on families of line bundles, see [D-K-N, Chapter 2, §2] for smooth curves. In this section, we define Baker-Akhiezer functions for general complex curves.

Baker-Akhiezer functions are uniquely determined by their function-theoretic properties. The following two lemmata are used in the proof of uniqueness. The first is an easy consequence of Serre duality. We give a direct proof.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $\mathcal{S}' \supset \mathcal{S}$ be two generalised divisors on X'. Then $H^1(X', \mathcal{S}) = 0$ implies $H^1(X', \mathcal{S}') = 0$.*

Proof. Because of $H^1(X', \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{S}) = 0$, the long exact sequence associated to the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}' \to \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{S} \to 0$$

is given by

$$0 \to H^0(X', \mathcal{S}) \to H^0(X', \mathcal{S}') \to H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{S}) \to H^1(X', \mathcal{S}) \to H^1(X', \mathcal{S}') \to 0.$$

Since by hypothesis $H^1(X', \mathcal{S}) = 0$, it follows $H^1(X', \mathcal{S}') = 0$. **q.e.d.**

Lemma 8.2. *Let g' be the arithmetic genus of X', $q_1, \ldots, q_n \in X' \setminus S'$ be pairwise different smooth points and $\mathcal{S}'$ be a generalised divisor on X' of degree $g' + n - 1$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{S}') \subset X' \setminus \{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}$. Then, the linear map*

$$H^0(X', \mathcal{S}') \to \mathbb{C}^n, \qquad \varphi \mapsto (\varphi(q_1), \ldots, \varphi(q_n)) \tag{29}$$

is an isomorphism if and only if

$$H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1 - \cdots - q_n}) = 0, \tag{30}$$

where $\mathcal{S}'_{-q_1-\cdots-q_n}$ is the generalised divisor obtained by multiplying $\mathcal{S}'$ with the classical divisor $-q_1 - \ldots - q_n$.

Proof. If the map (29) is an isomorphism, its kernel $H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1-\cdots-q_n})$ is zero.

Conversely, we now suppose that $H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1-\cdots-q_n}) = 0$ holds. So the map (29) is injective. Because of $\deg \mathcal{S}' = g' + n - 1$, one has $\deg \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1-\cdots-q_n} = g' - 1$. Hence, the Riemann-Roch Theorem 5.2 implies

$$\dim H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1-\cdots-q_n}) - \dim H^1(X', \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1-\cdots-q_n}) = \\ = \deg \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1-\cdots-q_n} + 1 - g' = 0$$

and therefore, $\dim H^1(X', \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1-\ldots-q_n}) = \dim H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1-\ldots-q_n}) = 0$. So Lemma 8.1 gives $\dim H^1(X', \mathcal{S}') = 0$ and the Riemann-Roch Theorem yields $\dim H^0(X', \mathcal{S}') = n$. Finally, the map (29) is an isomorphism. **q.e.d.**

In the case $n = 1$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X'} \subset \mathcal{S}'$, the condition $H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1}) = 0$ already implies that $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{S}') \subset X' \setminus \{q_1\}$. In fact, otherwise $1 \in H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1})$. For $n \geq 2$, the condition $H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1-\ldots-q_n}) = 0$ only excludes the possibility that all points $q_1, \ldots, q_n$ are contained in $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{S}')$.

Remark 8.3. *The analogy of the case $n > 1$ to the original case $n = 1$ is elucidated by replacing X' with the more singular curve X'' obtained from X' by identifying $q_1, \ldots, q_n$ to an ordinary n-fold point with $\delta_{X''} = \delta_{X'} + (n-1)$. The degree of the corresponding generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}''$ on X'' equals the arithmetic genus g'' as in the case $n = 1$.*

We now let g' be the arithmetic genus of X' and fix pairwise different smooth points $q_1, \ldots, q_n \in X' \setminus S'$, a generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}'$ on X' of degree $g' + n - 1$ with $\mathcal{O}_{X'} \subset \mathcal{S}'$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{S}') \subset X' \setminus \{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}$ and $h_\ell = (h_{\ell,1}, \ldots, h_{\ell,n}) \in (H^-_{\text{finite}})^n$ for $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, L\}$. In this setting, we will also use the notations from Section 7 associated with the Krichever construction and further suppose that $U_k \cap \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{S}') = \varnothing$ for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Lemma 8.4. *Every generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}'$ of degree $g' + n - 1$ satisfying* (30) *is equivalent to a generalised divisor $\mathcal{S}''$ with $\mathcal{O}_{X'} \subset \mathcal{S}''$, $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{S}'') \subset X' \setminus \{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}$ and $\mathcal{S}''$ satisfying* (30).

Proof. Because of (30) and the Riemann-Roch Theorem 5.2, we have $H^1(X', \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1-\ldots-q_n}) = 0$ and therefore also $H^1(X', \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1-\ldots-\widehat{q_k}-\ldots-q_n}) = 0$ for every $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ by Lemma 8.1, where $\widehat{q_k}$ stands for the omission of the summand q_k. Again, by application of the Riemann-Roch Theorem 5.2, we obtain $\dim H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1-\ldots-\widehat{q_k}-\ldots-q_n}) = 1$. Let f_k be a non-zero element of $H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1-\ldots-\widehat{q_k}-\ldots-q_n})$. Then, $f := f_1 + \ldots + f_n \in H^0(X', \mathcal{S}') \setminus H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'_{-q_k})$ for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

We define $\mathcal{S}'' := f^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{S}'$. Then, $\mathcal{S}''$ is a generalised divisor of degree $g' + n - 1$. Because of $f \in H^0(X', \mathcal{S}')$, we have $1 \in H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'')$ and therefore $\mathcal{O}_{X'} \subset \mathcal{S}''$. Finally, because q_k is a smooth point and $f \notin H^0(X', \mathcal{S}'_{-q_k})$, we have $q_k \notin \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{S}'')$ for $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. **q.e.d.**

We abbreviate for $h_1, \ldots, h_L \in (H^-)^n$

$$\mathcal{L}_h(t) := \mathcal{L}_{h_1}(t_1) \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{L}_{h_L}(t_L) \quad \text{for} \quad t = (t_1, \ldots, t_L) \in \mathbb{C}^L$$

and define

$$T := \{t \in \mathbb{C}^L \mid \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1-\ldots-q_n} \otimes \mathcal{L}_h(t)) \neq 0\} \,. \qquad (31)$$

We will see that T is a subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^L$ as well as the set of parameters for which the Baker-Akhiezer function is not uniquely defined. For fixed $t \in \mathbb{C}^L$, we consider $\mathcal{S}' \otimes \mathcal{L}_h(t)$ as sheaf on X'. The same cocycles with variable $t \in \mathbb{C}^L$ induce a sheaf $\mathcal{L}_h$ on $X' \times \mathbb{C}^L$, and we will consider the sheaf $\mathcal{S}' \otimes \mathcal{L}_h$ on $X' \times \mathbb{C}^L$ (where we also regard $\mathcal{S}'$ as a sheaf on $X' \times \mathbb{C}^L$).

Lemma 8.5. *The sheaf $\mathcal{S}' \otimes \mathcal{L}_h$ is flat with respect to the map $X' \times \mathbb{C}^L \to \mathbb{C}^L$.*

Proof. In the proof, we involve the concepts described in [G-P-R, Chapter II, §2]. We first note that every vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ is a flat $\mathbb{C}$-module by [G-P-R, Chapter II, Proposition 2.1(1)] because $\mathbb{C}$ does not contain any non-trivial ideals. The simplest complex space Y is a single point with the sheaf of holomorphic functions equal to $\mathbb{C}$. Then, $\mathcal{S}'$ is a f-flat $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$-module for the constant map $f : X' \to Y$. By [G-P-R, Chapter II, Proposition 2.6(1)] (applied with $X = X'$, Y as above and $Z = \mathbb{C}^L$, f as above and the constant map $g : \mathbb{C}^L \to Y$), $\mathcal{S}'$ considered as sheaf on $X' \times \mathbb{C}^L$ is flat with respect to the projection $X' \times \mathbb{C}^L \to \mathbb{C}^L$. Because $\mathcal{L}_h$ is locally free on $X' \times \mathbb{C}^L$, the assertion follows. **q.e.d.**

This Lemma shows that $\mathcal{S}' \otimes \mathcal{L}_h$ is a deformation of the sheaf $\mathcal{S}'$ on X'. Now, we can use the theory of deformations of sheaves and can control the dependence of the cohomology groups $H^q(X', \mathcal{S}' \otimes \mathcal{L}_h(t))$ on $t \in \mathbb{C}^L$.

Theorem 8.6. *T is a subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^L$.*

Proof. In this proof, we involve the concepts described in [G-P-R, Chapter III, §4.2]. T is closed by [G-P-R, Chapter III, Theorem 4.7(a)]. For $q > 1$, the cohomology groups $H^q(X', \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1-\ldots-q_L} \otimes \mathcal{L}_h(t))$ are trivial because there exists a Leray covering $\mathcal{U}$ of X' such that the intersection of any triple of distinct members of $\mathcal{U}$ is empty. Now, [G-P-R, Chapter III Theorem 4.7 (b)] implies that for the sheaf $\mathcal{S}'_{-q_1-\ldots-q_L} \otimes \mathcal{L}_h(t)$ and $q \geq 1$, base change holds. Furthermore, [G-P-R, Chapter III Corollary 4.8] implies that $R^1 f_* \mathcal{S}$ is zero on the open set $\mathbb{C}^L \setminus T$, with $f : X' \times \mathbb{C}^L \to \mathbb{C}^L$, $f(x,t) = t$ and the sheaf $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1-\ldots-q_L} \otimes \mathcal{L}_h$. Therefore, $\mathrm{supp}(R^1 f_* \mathcal{S})$ is contained in T.

Conversely, since X is compact, f is proper and the sheaf $R^1 f_* \mathcal{S}$ is coherent on $\mathbb{C}$ due to Grauert's Direct Image Theorem [G-P-R,

Chapter III Theorem 4.1]. Therefore, $\operatorname{supp}(R^1 f_* \mathcal{S})$ is a subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^L$. The complement of $\operatorname{supp}(R^1 f_* \mathcal{S})$ is contained in the complement of T again by [G-P-R, Chapter III Corollary 4.8]. This implies $\operatorname{supp}(R^1 f_* \mathcal{S}) = T$. **q.e.d.**

Definition 8.7. *For* $(c_{j,k}) \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, *a* Baker-Akhiezer function *is a function*

$$\psi = (\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n) : (X' \setminus \{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}) \times (\mathbb{C}^L \setminus T) \to \mathbb{C}^n,$$

with the following properties:

(i) *The map* $q \mapsto \psi_j(q,t)$ *is a holomorphic section of* $\mathcal{S}'$ *on* $X \setminus \{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}$ *for* $t \in \mathbb{C}^L \setminus T$ *and* $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

(ii) *For* $j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ *and* $t \in \mathbb{C}^L \setminus T$, *the map*

$$q \mapsto \psi_j(q,t) \cdot z_k^* \exp\left(-2\pi i \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} t_\ell \, h_{\ell,k}\right)$$

extends to a holomorphic function on U_k *with value* $c_{j,k}$ *at* q_k.

Theorem 8.8. *For every* $(c_{j,k}) \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, *there exists one and only one Baker-Akhiezer function* ψ *and* $\psi \in H^0(U_0 \times (\mathbb{C}^L \setminus T), \mathcal{S}' \otimes \mathcal{L}_h)$.

Proof. An element of $H^0(X', \mathcal{L}_h(t))$ is given by holomorphic functions $\varphi_k \in H^0(U_k, \mathcal{O}_{U_k})$ for $k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ such that on $U_0 \cap U_k = U_k \setminus \{q_k\}$,

$$\varphi_0 \cdot z_k^* \exp\left(-2\pi i \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} t_\ell h_{\ell,k}\right) = \varphi_k \,. \tag{32}$$

Consequently, for $t \in \mathbb{C}^L \setminus T$, an element φ of $H^0(X', \mathcal{S}' \otimes \mathcal{L}_h(t))$ is given by functions $\varphi_0 \in H^0(U_0, \mathcal{S}')$ and $\varphi_k \in H^0(U_k, \mathcal{O}_{U_k})$ for $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that on $U_k \setminus \{q_k\}$ again (32) holds.

By Lemma 8.2, for $t \in \mathbb{C}^L \setminus T$, the map

$$H^0(X', \mathcal{S}' \otimes \mathcal{L}_h(t)) \to \mathbb{C}^n, \qquad \varphi \mapsto (\varphi(q_1), \ldots, \varphi(q_n))$$

is an isomorphism. Therefore, for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, there exists a unique element $\varphi \in H^0(X', \mathcal{S}' \otimes \mathcal{L}_h(t))$ which is mapped to $(c_{j,1}, \ldots, c_{j,n})$ by the above isomorphism. Then, $\psi_j = \varphi_0$ is the unique function with the properties of the j-th component of the Baker-Akhiezer function ψ given in Definition 8.7.

It remains to show that ψ is holomorphic in $t \in \mathbb{C}^L \setminus T$. Because of the uniqueness of the Baker-Akhiezer function, it suffices to show this in the case $c_{j,k} = \delta_{jk}$. For $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we define

$$\mathcal{S}_j := \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1 - \ldots - \widehat{q_j} - \ldots - q_n} \otimes \mathcal{L}_h \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_j(t) := \mathcal{S}'_{-q_1 - \ldots - \widehat{q_j} - \ldots - q_n} \otimes \mathcal{L}_h(t)$$

for $t \in \mathbb{C}^L \setminus T$. By Lemma 8.2, we have $\dim H^0(X', \mathcal{S}_j(t)) = 1$. Due to [G-P-R, Chapter III, Theorem 4.7(d)], $f_*\mathcal{S}_j$ is locally free of rank 1, where $f : X' \times (\mathbb{C}^L \setminus T) \to \mathbb{C}^L \setminus T$, $(x,t) \mapsto t$, and for every $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}^L \setminus T$, the values of holomorphic sections of $f_*\mathcal{S}_j$ at t_0 are canonically isomorphic to $H^0(X', \mathcal{S}_j(t_0))$. In particular, there exists a holomorphic section φ of $f_*\mathcal{S}_j$ that is normalised at t_0. In order to obtain ψ_j, we normalise φ. By definition of $\mathcal{S}_j$, the corresponding φ_j as above is holomorphic on $U_j \times (\mathbb{C}^L \setminus T)$. Evaluation at q_j yields a holomorphic function $\varphi_j(q_j)$ on $\mathbb{C}^L \setminus T$ which is equal to 1 at t_0. Because $\varphi_j(q_j)$ does not vanish in a neighborhood of t_0, $\psi_j = \varphi_0/\varphi_j(q_j)$ is holomorphic there. **q.e.d.**

Remark 8.9. *(1) Baker-Akhiezer functions with $n = L = 1$ were first constructed by* Baker *in relation to the study of commuting pairs of ordinary differential operators, see* [Ba]. *Later on,* Akhiezer *applied them to the investigation of the spectral theory of ordinary differential equations in* [Ak]. *Baker-Akhiezer functions with general n and L were first introduced by* Krichever, [Kr].

(2) If $X' = X$ is smooth and $\mathcal{S}'$ is a non-special, positive divisor of degree $g + n - 1$ with support in $X \setminus \{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}$, one can express the corresponding Baker-Akhiezer function in terms of Riemann's theta function, see [D-K-N, Chapter II, Theorem 2.2].

(3) We illustrate with an example how to construct a Baker-Akhiezer function which solves the heat equation

$$(\partial_y - \partial_x^2 + u(x,y))\psi(x,y) = 0 \tag{33}$$

with some (complex-valued) potential u, see [F-K-T, Chapter 4].

We let an arbitrary compact singular curve X' with arithmetic genus g' and a marked smooth point $q_1 \in X'$ ($n = 1$) be given and choose $L = 2$. Let z be a local coordinate centered at q_1 and define

$$h_1(z) := \frac{1}{z} \quad \text{and} \quad h_2(z) := \frac{2\pi i}{z^2}\ .$$

Further, we suppose that $\mathcal{S}'$ is a generalised divisor of degree g' with support away from q_1.

Let ψ be the Baker-Akhiezer function associated to these data, where $t = (x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus T$. Then, there exists an analytic function $u : \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus T \to \mathbb{C}$ such that ψ solves (33).

Proof. With $\varphi_0 = \psi$ and φ_1 as in the proof of Theorem 8.8, we have

$$\varphi_0 = z^* \exp\bigl(2\pi i(x \cdot h_1 + y \cdot h_2)\bigr) \cdot \varphi_1$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\partial_y - \partial_x^2)\varphi_0 = z^* \exp\bigl(2\pi i(x \cdot h_1 + y \cdot h_2)\bigr) \\
&\cdot \Bigl((2\pi i z^* h_2 + 4\pi^2 z^* h_1^2) \cdot \varphi_1 + (\partial_y - \partial_x^2)\varphi_1 - 4\pi i z^* h_1 \cdot \partial_x \varphi_1 \Bigr) \\
&= z^* \exp\bigl(2\pi i(x \cdot h_1 + y \cdot h_2)\bigr) \cdot \Bigl((\partial_y - \partial_x^2)\varphi_1 - 4\pi i z^* h_1 \cdot \partial_x \varphi_1 \Bigr)
\end{aligned}$$

because of the choice of h_1 and h_2. The last factor on the right-hand side is holomorphic on U_1 because $\partial_x \varphi_1$ has a zero at q_1 due to the normalisation $\varphi_1(q_1) = 1$. Now, let $u(x, y)$ denote the value of this function at q_1. $(\partial_y - \partial_x^2)\varphi_0$ has the properties (i) and (ii) of Definition 8.7 with the exception of the normalisation. The proof of Theorem 8.8 shows that the elements of $H^0(X', \mathcal{S}' \otimes \mathcal{L}_h(x, y))$ are uniquely determined by their values of the corresponding φ_1 at q_1. Therefore, we have $(\partial_y - \partial_x^2)\varphi_0 = u(x, y) \cdot \varphi_0$. **q.e.d.**

References

[A-vM-V] M. Adler, P. van Moerbeke, P. Vanhaecke: Algebraic integrability, Painlevé geometry and Lie algebras,

[Ak] N. I. Akhiezer: A continuous analogue of orthogonal polynomials on a system of intervals. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **141** (1961), 263–266. (English Translation: Sov. Math., Dokl. **2** (1961), 1409–1412.)

[Ba] H. F. Baker: Note on the foregoing paper "Commutative ordinary differential operators," by J. L. Burchnall and J. W. Chaundy. Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. A **118** (1928), 584–593.

[Br] G. E. Bredon: Topology and Geometry. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **139**. Springer, New York (1993).

[dJ-P] T. de Jong, G. Pfister: Local Analytic Geometry. Advanced Lectures in Mathematics. Vieweg, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden (2000).

[D-K-N] B. A. Dubrovin, I. M. Krichever, S. P. Novikov: Integrable systems I. In: V. I. Arnold, S. P. Novikov (eds.) Dynamical Systems IV. Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences **4**, pp. 173-280. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (1990).

[F-K-T] J. Feldman, H. Knörrer, E. Trubowitz: Riemann surfaces of infinite genus. CRM Monograph Series **20**, American Math. Soc. Providence (2003).

[Fo] O. Forster: Lectures on Riemann surfaces. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **81**. Springer, New York (1981).

[G-P-R] H. Grauert, Th. Peternell, R. Remmert (eds.): Several complex variables VII. Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences **74**. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (1994).

[G-L-S] G.-H. Greuel, C. Lossen, E. Shustin: Introduction to Singularities and Deformations. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin (2007).

[Ha] R. Hartshorne: Algebraic geometry. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **52**. Springer, New York (1977).

[Ha-86] R. Hartshorne: Generalized divisors on Gorenstein curves and a theorem of Noether. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **26** No. 3, 375-386 (1986).

[Hi] N. J. Hitchin: Harmonic Maps from a 2-Torus to the 3-Sphere. J. Differential Geometry **31**, 627-710 (1990).

[Kr] I. M. Krichever: Integration of nonlinear equations by the methods of algebraic geometry. Funkts. Anal. Prilozh. **11** (1977), 15–31. (English translation: Funct. Anal. Appl. **11** (1977), 12–26.)

[La] P. D. Lax: Integrals of Nonlinear Equations of Evolutions and Solitary Waves. Commun. Pure Applied Math. **21**, 467-490 (1968).

[Ro] M. Rosenlicht: Equivalence relations on algebraic curves. Ann. of Math. **56**, 169-191 (1952).

[Sch] M. U. Schmidt: Integrable systems and Riemann surfaces of infinite genus. Memoirs of the American Math. Soc. **581** (1996).

[Se] J.-P. Serre: Algebraic groups and class fields. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **117**, Springer, New York (1988).

E-mail address: s.klein@math.uni-mannheim.de

Mathematics Chair III, Universität Mannheim, D-68131 Mannheim, Germany

E-mail address: eva.luebcke@gmail.com

Mathematics Chair III, Universität Mannheim, D-68131 Mannheim, Germany

E-mail address: schmidt@math.uni-mannheim.de

Mathematics Chair III, Universität Mannheim, D-68131 Mannheim, Germany

E-mail address: tsimon@mail.uni-mannheim.de

Mathematics Chair III, Universität Mannheim, D-68131 Mannheim, Germany